arXiv:math/0209410v5 [math.NT] 24 Jul 2007

Manin problems for Shimura varieties of Hodge type

Adrian Vasiu, Binghamton University, July 2007

ABSTRACT. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. We prove the existence of ascending and descending slope filtrations for Shimura p-divisible objects over k. We use them to classify rationally these objects over $\bar{k}$. Among geometric applications, we mention two. First we formulate Manin problems for Shimura varieties of Hodge type. Under two mild conditions (checked for $p \geq 3$ in [45]) we solve them. Second we formulate integral Manin problems. We solve them for some Shimura varieties of PEL type.

MSC (2000). 11G10, 11G15, 11G18, 11G35, 14F30, 14G15, 14L05, 14K22, and 20G25.

KEY WORDS. F-crystals, F-isocrystals, Newton polygons, p-divisible objects and groups, reductive group schemes, abelian and Shimura varieties, Hodge cycles, and stratifications.

1. Introduction

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p. Let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in k. Let $B(k)$ be the field of fractions of $W(k)$. Let $\sigma := \sigma_k$ be the Frobenius automorphism of k, $W(k)$, or $B(k)$. An F-isocrystal over k is a pair $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \phi)$, where M is a free $W(k)$-module of finite rank and $\phi : M[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[\frac{1}{p}]$ is a σ-linear automorphism of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$. If we have $\phi(M) \subseteq M$, then the pair (M, ϕ) is called an F-crystal over k. We denote also by ϕ the σ-linear automorphism of $\mathrm{End}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ that takes $x \in \mathrm{End}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ to $\phi \circ x \circ \phi^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$.

The F-isocrystals were introduced by Dieudonné in his work on finite, flat, commutative group schemes of p-power order over $\bar{k}$. In [11, Thms. 1 and 2] the F-isocrystals over $\bar{k}$ are classified: an F-isocrystal over $\bar{k}$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphisms by its Newton polygon. Manin reobtained this classification (see [28, Ch. 2, Section 4]) and brought the topic into the context of abelian varieties as follows (see [28, Ch. 4]). Let (D, λ_D) be a principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group over k of height $2r$. Let (M_0, ϕ_0, ψ_0) be its principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné module (see [1, Ch. 4]). The pair (M_0, ϕ_0) is an F-crystal over k, ψ_0 is a perfect alternating form on M_0, and we have $pM_0 \subseteq \phi_0(M_0) \subseteq M_0$ and $\psi_0(\phi_0(x), \phi_0(y)) = p\sigma(\psi_0(x, y))$, where $x, y \in M_0$. The Newton polygon of (M_0, ϕ_0) has the following three properties:

(*) its slopes belong to the interval $[0, 1]$, its starting and ending points are $(0, 0)$ and $(2r, r)$, and the multiplicity of a slope γ is the same as the multiplicity of the slope $1 - \gamma$.

The first two properties of (*) are particular cases of a theorem of Mazur (see [19, Thm. 1.4.1]). The third property of (*) is a consequence of the existence of λ_D and thus of ψ_0; in the geometric context of abelian varieties, it appears for the first time in [28]. The original Manin problem conjectured that each Newton polygon that satisfies (*), is the Newton polygon of an abelian variety over k of dimension r. It was first solved in [39] (see also [32] and [33] for two more recent proofs). In all that follows, expressions of the form $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ and $\mathrm{GSp}(M_0, \psi_0)$ are viewed as reductive group schemes over $W(k)$. Thus $\mathrm{GL}(M)(W(k))$ is the group of $W(k)$-linear automorphisms of M, etc.

Let T_0 be a split, maximal torus of $\mathrm{GSp}(M_0, \psi_0)$ whose fibre over k normalizes the kernel of the reduction mod p of ϕ_0. Let N_0 be the normalizer of $T_0 \cap \mathrm{Sp}(M_0, \psi_0)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(M_0, \psi_0)$. It is easy to see that there exists $g_0 \in \mathrm{Sp}(M_0, \psi_0)(W(k))$ such that $(g_0\phi_0)(\mathrm{Lie}(T_0))) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_0)$. The three properties of (*) are equivalent to:

1.1. Fact. *For each $g \in Sp(M_0, \psi_0)(W(k))$, there exists $w_0 \in N_0(W(k))$ such that the Newton polygon of $(M_0, g\phi_0)$ is the same as the Newton polygon of $(M_0, w_0 g_0 \phi_0)$.*

This equivalence can be checked easily by considering the actions of $w_0 g_0 \phi_0$'s on the rank 1 direct summands of M_0 normalized by T_0. Since many years (see the paragraph before Subsection 1.3 below) it was expected that Fact 1.1 also holds if $\mathrm{GSp}(M_0, \psi_0)$ is replaced by an arbitrary reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{GL}(M_0)$ related in a natural way to ϕ_0. The interest in the resulting problems stems from the study of Shimura varieties. A general study of such problems was started by Kottwitz in [21]. See [8], [31], [30], and [43, Subsection 2.5] for different types of Shimura varieties. For instance, the Shimura varieties of PEL type are moduli spaces of polarized abelian varieties endowed with endomorphisms (see [38] and [7]). Also the Shimura varieties of abelian (resp. of Hodge) type are moduli spaces of polarized abelian motives (resp. of polarized abelian varieties) endowed with Hodge cycles (see [7] and [30]). The Shimura varieties of abelian type are the main testing ground for many parts of the Langlands program (like zeta functions, local correspondences, etc.). The deep understanding of their zeta functions depends on the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture (see [26], [27], and [29]) on $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$-valued points of special fibres of their good integral models in mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. To solve this conjecture and to aim in extending [36] and [18] to all Shimura varieties, one needs a good theory of isomorphism classes of F-isocrystals with additional structures that are crystalline realizations of abelian motives associated naturally to these $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$-valued points.

This paper, [44], and [45] are part of a sequence meant to contribute to such a theory. The below notion Shimura p-divisible object axiomatizes all crystalline realizations one can (or hopes to) associate to points with values in perfect fields of "good" integral models in mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ of arbitrary (quotients of) Shimura varieties. The main goals of the paper are to classify rationally such p-divisible objects over $\bar{k}$ and to generalize the original Manin problem to contexts related to Shimura varieties of Hodge type.

1.2. The language. In this paper we will use an integral language that is closer in spirit to the works [25], [14], and [49] which precede [21]. For a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \geq a$, we define $S(a, b) := \{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$.

1.2.1. Definitions. A *p-divisible object with a reductive group* over k is a triple (M, ϕ, G), where M is a free $W(k)$-module of finite rank, the pair $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \phi)$ is an F-isocrystal over k, and G is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{GL}(M)$, such that there exists a direct sum decomposition

$$M = \oplus_{i \in S(a,b)} \tilde{F}^i(M) \tag{1}$$

for which the following two axioms hold:

(a) we have $\phi^{-1}(M) = \oplus_{i=a}^{b} p^{-i} \tilde{F}^i(M)$ and $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$;

(b) the cocharacter μ of $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ such that $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acts through μ on $\tilde{F}^i(M)$ as the multiplication by β^{-i}, factors through G.

Following [34, Section 2] we refer to $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ as a *Hodge cocharacter* of (M, ϕ, G) and to (1) as its *Hodge decomposition.* If $G = \mathrm{GL}(M)$, then often we do not mention G and so implicitly "with a reductive group". For $i \in S(a,b)$ let $F^i(M) := \oplus_{j=i}^{b} \tilde{F}^j(M)$ and let $\phi_i : F^i(M) \to M$ be the restriction of $p^{-i}\phi$ to $F^i(M)$. Triples of the form $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \phi)$ show up in [25], [14], [49], etc. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the reduction mod p^n of $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, (\phi_i)_{i \in S(a,b)})$ is an object of the abelian category $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ used in [25], [14], and [12]. This and the fact that such a reduction is a natural generalization of a truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level n over $W(k)$ (for instance, for $p \geq 3$ see [12, Thm. 7.1]) justifies our terminology "p-divisible object". Let $b_L \in S(0, b-a)$ be the smallest number with the property that we have a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \oplus_{i=-b_L}^{b_L} \tilde{F}^i(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \tag{2}$$

such that $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acts through μ on $\tilde{F}^i(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ as the multiplication by β^{-i}.

We have $b_L = 0$ if and only if μ factors through the center of G. If $b_L = 1$, then μ is called a *minuscule cocharacter* of G. If $b_L \leq 1$, then we say (M, ϕ, G) is a *Shimura p-divisible object* over k. If $(a,b) = (0,1)$, then we say (M, ϕ, G) is a *Shimura F-crystal* over k; they were extensively used in [43, Section 5] and in many previous works on Shimura varieties of PEL type (see [46], [27], [23], etc.).

For $g \in G(W(k))$ let

$$\mathcal{C}_g := (M, g\phi, G).$$

We have $\phi^{-1}(M) = (g\phi)^{-1}(M)$ and thus $\mathcal{C}_g$ is also a p-divisible object with a reductive group over k that has μ as a Hodge cocharacter. By the extension of $\mathcal{C}_g$ to a perfect field k_1 that contains k, we mean the triple $\mathcal{C}_g \otimes_k k_1 := (M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g\phi \otimes \sigma_{k_1}, G_{W(k_1)})$.

1.2.2. Definition. Let g, $g_1 \in G(W(k))$. By an *inner* (resp. by a *rational inner) isomorphism* between $\mathcal{C}_g$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g_1}$ we mean an element $h \in G(W(k))$ that normalizes $\phi^{-1}(M)$ and (resp. an element $h \in G(B(k))$) such that we have $hg\phi = g_1\phi h$.

1.2.3. Notations. For $g \in G(W(k))$ let $\mathfrak{S}(g)$ be the set of Newton polygon slopes of $(M[\frac{1}{p}], g\phi)$. Let $M[\frac{1}{p}] = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(g)} M_\gamma(g)$ be the direct sum decomposition stable under ϕ and such that all Newton polygon slopes of $(M_\gamma(g), \phi)$ are γ. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $m\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there exists a $B(k)$-basis for $M_\gamma(g)$ formed by elements fixed by $p^{-m\gamma}\phi^m$. Let $\gamma_{1,g} < \gamma_{2,g} < \cdots < \gamma_{n_g,g}$ be the numbers in $\mathfrak{S}(g)$ listed increasingly. For $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(g)$ let

$$W^\gamma(M, g\phi) := M \cap (\oplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}(g) \cap [\gamma, \infty)} M_\alpha(g)) \text{ and } W_\gamma(M, g\phi) := M \cap (\oplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}(g) \cap (-\infty, \gamma]} M_\alpha(g)).$$

Due to the axioms 1.2.1 (a) and (b), the Newton quasi-cocharacter of $\mathrm{GL}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined by $g\phi$ (its definition is reviewed in Subsubsection 2.2.1) factors through $G_{B(k)}$ (see Claim 2.2.2). Let ν_g be this factorization.

1.3. The basic results. In Sections 3 and 4 we mainly study the case when $b_L \leq 1$ and we deal with two aspects of the classification of $\mathcal{C}_g$'s up to inner isomorphisms. The two aspects are: slope filtrations and ν_g's. We list the basic results.

1.3.1. Theorem. *Let $g \in G(W(k))$. There exists a unique parabolic subgroup scheme $P_G^+(g\phi)$ of G such that all Newton polygon slopes of the F-isocrystal $(Lie(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}), g\phi)$ (resp. $(Lie(G_{B(k)})/Lie(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}), g\phi)$) are non-negative (resp. are negative). If $b_L \leq 1$, then there exists a Hodge cocharacter of $(M, g\phi, G)$ that factors through $P_G^+(g\phi)$.*

1.3.2. Corollary. *Let $g \in G(W(k))$. We assume $b_L \leq 1$. We have:*

(a) *There exists a Hodge cocharacter of $(M, g\phi, G)$ that normalizes $W^\gamma(M, g\phi)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(g)$.*

(b) *Up to a rational inner isomorphism, we can assume that we have a direct sum decomposition $M := \oplus_{\gamma\in\mathfrak{S}(g)} M \cap M_\gamma(g)$ and that μ normalizes $M \cap M_\gamma(g)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(g)$.*

If $b_L \leq 1$, then from Corollary 1.3.2 (a) and axiom 1.2.1 (a) we get that the filtration

$$(3)\quad (W^{\gamma_{n_g,g}}(M, g\phi), g\phi) \subseteq (W^{\gamma_{n_g-1,g}}(M, g\phi), g\phi) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (W^{\gamma_{1,g}}(M, g\phi), g\phi) = (M, g\phi)$$

is a filtration in the category $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$ of p-divisible objects over k (the morphisms being $W(k)$-linear maps that respect after inverting p the Frobenius endomorphisms). We refer to it as the *descending slope filtration* of $(M, g\phi)$. Replacing "negative" by "positive", we get another parabolic subgroup scheme $P_G^-(g\phi)$ of G and the *ascending slope filtration*

$$(4)\qquad (W_{\gamma_{1,g}}(M, g\phi), g\phi) \subseteq (W_{\gamma_{2,g}}(M, g\phi), g\phi) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (W_{\gamma_{n_g,g}}(M, g\phi), g\phi) = (M, g\phi)$$

of $(M, g\phi)$ in the category $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k))$. We can define Shimura p-divisible objects over any field l of characteristic p and we can always speak about their ascending slope filtrations over a Cohen ring $K(l)$ of l. Thus we view (4) and its analogue over $K(l)$ as a natural extension of Grothendieck's slope filtrations of p-divisible groups over l (see [47]).

We shift to the rational classification of $\mathcal{C}_g$'s. For the remaining part of Section 1, we will assume that G is split. Let T be a split, maximal torus of G such that μ factors through it. Until Section 2 we also assume that $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T)) = \mathrm{Lie}(T)$ (in Subsection 2.5 we check that we can always achieve this by replacing ϕ with $g_0\phi$ for some $g_0 \in G(W(k))$).

1.3.3. Theorem. *Let $g \in G(W(k))$. Let N be the normalizer of T in G. We assume that $b_L \leq 1$ and that G is split. We have:*

(a) *There exists $w \in N(W(k))$ such that ν_w and ν_g are $G(B(k))$-conjugate.*

(b) *If $k = \bar{k}$, then there exist $w \in N(W(k))$ and $h \in G(B(k))$ such that $hw\phi = g\phi h$.*

Standard properties needed in Sections 3 and 4 are gathered in Section 2. See Subsections 2.3 and 4.1 for the proofs of Theorem 1.3.1 and Corollary 1.3.2. The proof of Theorem 1.3.3 is in two steps (see Subsection 4.2). The first step works for all $b_L \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and shows two things. First, using the classification of adjoint group schemes over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, it shows that there exists $w \in N(W(k))$ such that all Newton polygon slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), w\phi)$ are 0 (see Subsubsection 4.2.2). Second, if $k = \bar{k}$ and if all Newton polygon slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), g\phi)$ and of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), g_1\phi)$ are 0, then standard arguments as in [21] and [35] show that there exist rational inner isomorphisms between $\mathcal{C}_g$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g_1}$ (see Subsections 2.6 and 2.7). The second step is an inductive one. It works only if $b_L \leq 1$ (see Subsubsection 4.2.3). The idea is: if $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), g\phi)$ has non-zero Newton polygon slopes, then $\mathcal{C}_g$ has standard forms that reduce the situation to a context in which there exists a Levi subgroup scheme L of $P_G^+(g\phi)$ such that the triple $(M, g\phi, L)$ is a Shimura p-divisible object. The standard forms (see Section 3) are also the essence of Theorem 1.3.1 and Corollary 1.3.2. They are rooted on the simple property 2.4 (c) and on the fact that each intersection of two parabolic subgroups of G_k contains a maximal split torus of G_k. See Subsections 4.3 to 4.7 for examples and complements to Corollary 1.3.2 and Theorem 1.3.3.

The notion rational inner isomorphism is only a variant of the σ-conjugacy notion of [21]; direct connections to the "$B(G_{B(k)})$-language" of [21] and [35] are made in Subsections 2.6 and 4.5. The notion inner isomorphism is a natural extension of the classification ideas of [28]. Parabolic subgroup schemes as $P_G^+(g\phi)$ were first used in [42] for $(a, b) = (0, 1)$. To our knowledge, Theorem 1.3.1 is a new result. The Newton polygon translation of Theorem 1.3.3 (a) was indirectly hinted at by the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture. Chai extrapolated this conjecture and stated rather explicitly that Theorem 1.3.3 (a) ought to hold (see [6]). Theorem 1.3.1 and versions of Corollary 1.3.2 and Theorem 1.3.3 were first part of our manuscripts math.NT/0104152 and math.NT/0209410 (only few particular cases of Theorem 1.3.3 (b) were known before math.NT/0104152 and most of them could be deduced from [28]). The paper [24] was written after the mentioned two manuscripts; one can use [24, Theorem 4.3] to recover Theorem 1.3.3 (b). See Corollary 4.4 for an interpretation of Theorem 1.3.3 (b) in terms of equivalence classes. The last part of Theorem 1.3.1 and Theorem 1.3.3 (and thus also (3) and (4)) do not hold in general if $b_L \geq 2$ (see Example 2.3.4).

1.4. On geometric applications. In Subsection 5.1 we introduce the standard Hodge situations. They give birth to good moduli spaces in mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ of principally polarized abelian varieties endowed with (specializations of) Hodge cycles, that generalize the moduli spaces of principally polarized abelian varieties endowed with endomorphisms used in [46], [23], and [27]. In Subsection 5.2 we formulate Manin problems for standard Hodge situations. Under two mild assumptions (see Subsubsection 5.2.1) we solve them: see the Main Theorem 5.2.3. For $p \geq 3$, these two mild conditions are particular cases of [45, Main Thm. 1.2 and Lemma 2.5.2 (a)]. The proof of Main Theorem relies on Theorem 1.3.3 (b), on Fontaine comparison theory, and on properties of Shimura varieties and reductive group schemes. In particular, we get a new solution to the original Manin problem mentioned before Fact 1.1 (cf. Subsubsection 5.2.4 (a)). In Subsection 5.3 we follow [35, Thm. 3.8] and introduce rational stratifications of the special fibres of the mentioned good moduli spaces. In Subsection 5.4 we formulate ıintegral Manin problems for standard Hodge situations. Theorem 5.4.2 solves them in many cases that pertain to

Shimura varieties of PEL type; the simplest example implies that each principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group over $\bar{k}$ of height $2r$ is the one of a principally polarized abelian variety over $\bar{k}$ of dimension r (cf. Example 5.4.3 (a)). These integral problems are natural extrapolations of the "combination" between the Manin problems and a conjecture of Milne (see [43, Conjecture 5.6.6] and see [45] for refinements of it). Section 5 prepares the background for a future work in which we will extend [46] to the context of many standard Hodge situations. This extension will be a major step toward the complete proof of the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture for Shimura varieties of abelian type with respect to primes $p \geq 5$.

2. Preliminaries

See Subsection 2.1 for our conventions and notations. In Subsections 2.2 and 2.3 we include complements on Newton polygons. We deal with two aspects: Newton (quasi-) cocharacters and parabolic subgroup schemes that correspond to either non-negative or to non-positive Newton polygon slopes. Our approach to Newton quasi-cocharacters is slightly different from the standard one that uses the pro-torus of character group $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [35] and [34]). In Subsections 2.4 to 2.7 we list different properties of $\mathcal{C}_g$'s.

2.1. Notations and conventions. Reductive group schemes have connected fibres. If $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is an affine scheme and if H is a reductive group scheme over R, let H^{der}, $Z(H)$, H^{ab}, and H^{ad} be the derived group scheme, the center, the maximal abelian quotient, and respectively the adjoint group scheme of H. We have $H/Z(H) = H^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $H/H^{\mathrm{der}} = H^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Let $Z^0(H)$ be the maximal torus of $Z(H)$. Let $\mathrm{Lie}(F)$ be the Lie algebra over R of a smooth, closed subgroup scheme F of H. If $R = W(k)$, then $H(W(k))$ is called a hyperspecial subgroup of $H(B(k))$ (see [41]). Let $R_0 \to R$ be a homomorphism. If it is finite and flat, let $\mathrm{Res}_{R/R_0} H$ be the affine group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ that is the Weil restriction of scalars of H (see [4, Subsection 7.6]). In general, the pull back of an R_0-scheme X or X_{R_0} (resp. X_* with $*$ an index) to R is denoted by X_R (resp. X_{*R}). Let $\bar{E}$ be an algebraic closure of a field E.

For an R-module N let $N^* := \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, R)$. Let $N^{\otimes s} \otimes_R N^{*\otimes t}$, with $s, t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, be the tensor product of s-copies of N with t-copies of N^* taken in this order. Let

$$\mathcal{T}(N) := \oplus_{s,t\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}} N^{\otimes s} \otimes_R N^{*\otimes t}.$$

A family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(N)$ is denoted in the form $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$, with $\mathcal{J}$ as the set of indices. We emphasize that we use the same notation for two tensors or bilinear forms obtained one from another by an extension of scalars. Let N_1 be another R-module. Each isomorphism $f : N \xrightarrow{\sim} N_1$ extends naturally to an isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(N_1)$ and therefore we speak about f taking v_α to some specific element of $\mathcal{T}(N_1)$. A bilinear form on N is called perfect if it induces an isomorphism $N \xrightarrow{\sim} N^*$. We view $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ as a reductive group scheme over R. If f_1 and f_2 are two $\mathbb{Z}$-endomorphisms of N, then $f_1 f_2 := f_1 \circ f_2$.

Until Section 5, whenever we consider a p-divisible object with a reductive group (M, ϕ, G) over k, the following notations a, b, $S(a,b)$, μ, b_L, $\mathcal{C}_g$'s, $\tilde{F}^i(M)$ and $F^i(M)$ with $i \in S(a,b)$, and $\tilde{F}^i(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ with $i \in S(-b_L, b_L)$ will be as in Subsubsection 1.2.1.

Often we do not mention "over k". Let P be the parabolic subgroup scheme of G that normalizes $F^i(M)$ for all $i \in S(a,b)$. From Subsection 2.3 onward, $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(g)$, $M_\gamma(g)$, $W^\gamma(M, g\phi)$, $W_\gamma(M, g\phi)$, and ν_g, will be as in Subsections 1.2.3 and 2.2.3. If all Newton polygon slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), \phi)$ are 0, then following [21, Subsection 5.1] we say (M, ϕ, G) is *basic*. We denote also by ϕ the σ-linear automorphism of $M^*[\frac{1}{p}]$ that takes $x \in M^*[\frac{1}{p}]$ to $\sigma x \phi^{-1} \in M^*[\frac{1}{p}]$. Thus ϕ acts on $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ in the natural tensor way. The identification $\mathrm{End}(M) = M \otimes_{W(k)} M^*$ is compatible with the two ϕ actions (defined here and before Fact 1.1). If $(a,b) = (0,1)$, then we refer to $(M, F^1(M), \phi, G)$ as a Shimura filtered F-crystal.

2.2. Quasi-cocharacters. Let H be a reductive group scheme over a connected scheme S. Let $\chi(H)$ be the set of cocharacters of H. Let $\Lambda(H) := \chi(H) \times \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $R(H)$ be the smallest equivalence relation on $\Lambda(H)$ that has the following property: two pairs (μ_1, r_1), $(\mu_2, r_2) \in \Lambda(H)$ are in relation $R(H)$ if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nr_1, nr_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $g.c.d.(nr_1, nr_2) = 1$, and $\mu_1^{nr_1} = \mu_2^{nr_2}$. Let $\Xi(H)$ be the set of equivalence classes of $R(H)$. An element of $\Xi(H)$ is called a *quasi-cocharacter* of H. Identifying $\chi(H)$ with the subset $\chi(H) \times \{1\}$ of $\Lambda(H)$, we view naturally $\chi(H)$ as a subset of $\Xi(H)$. If H is a split torus, then $\chi(H) = X_*(H)$ has a natural structure of a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module and we can identify naturally $\Xi(H) = X_*(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. The group $H(S)$ acts on $\Xi(H)$ via its inner conjugation action on $\chi(H)$. If $f : H \to H_1$ is a homomorphism of reductive group schemes over S, then $f_*(\mu_1, r_1) := (f \circ \mu_1, r_1) \in \Lambda(H_1)$. The resulting map $f_* : \Lambda(H) \to \Lambda(H_1)$ is compatible with the $R(H)$ and $R(H_1)$ relations; the quotient map $f_* : \Xi(H) \to \Xi(H_1)$ is compatible with the $H(S)$- and $H_1(S)$-actions. We say $\dagger \in \Xi(H_1)$ factors through H if $\dagger \in f_*(\Xi(H))$.

2.2.1. The slope context. Let $\mathcal{C}_{1_M} = (M, \phi, G)$ be a p-divisible object with a reductive group over k. Let $\mathfrak{S}(1_M)$ be as Subsubsection 1.2.3. For $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(1_M)$ we write $\gamma = \frac{a_\gamma}{b_\gamma}$, where $a_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b_\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g.c.d.(a_\gamma, b_\gamma) = 1$. Let $d := l.c.m.(b_\gamma | \gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(1_M))$. Each F-isocrystal over $\bar{k}$ is a direct sum of simple F-isocrystals which have only one Newton polygon slope, cf. Dieudonné's classification of F-isocrystals over $\bar{k}$. We have a direct sum decomposition

$$(M \otimes_{W(k)} B(\bar{k}), \phi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}) = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(1_M)} (\bar{M}_\gamma(1_M), \phi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}) \tag{5}$$

of F-isocrystals over $\bar{k}$ such that $(\bar{M}_\gamma(1_M), \phi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}})$ has only one Newton polygon slope γ. Let $\bar{e} \in \mathrm{End}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} B(\bar{k})$ be the semisimple element that acts on $\bar{M}_\gamma(1_M)$ as the multiplication by $\frac{da_\gamma}{b_\gamma}$. The Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts on $B(\bar{k})$ having $B(k)$ as its fixed field and acts on $\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} B(\bar{k}))$ having $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ as its set of fixed elements. For each automorphism $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(B(\bar{k})/B(k))$ defined by an element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, $\phi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}$ and $1_{M \otimes_{W(k)} B(\bar{k})} \otimes \tau$ commute. Thus $\bar{e}$ is fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ i.e., $\bar{e} \in \mathrm{End}(M[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathrm{End}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} B(k)$. Thus (5) is the tensorization with $B(\bar{k})$ of a direct sum decomposition $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \phi) = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(1_M)} (M_\gamma(1_M), \phi)$.

Let $\tilde{\nu}_{1_M} \in \chi(\mathrm{GL}(M[\frac{1}{p}]))$ be such that $\tilde{\nu}_{1_M}(p)$ acts on $M_\gamma(1_M)$ as the multiplication by $p^{\frac{da_\gamma}{b_\gamma}}$. Let $\nu_{1_M} := [(\tilde{\nu}_{1_M}, \frac{1}{d})] \in \Xi(\mathrm{GL}(M[\frac{1}{p}]))$. By abuse of language, we say that $\nu_{1_M}(p)$ acts on $M_\gamma(1_M)$ as the multiplication by p^γ. As the decompositions (5) are compatible with morphisms and tensor products of F-isocrystals, $\tilde{\nu}_{1_M}$ and ν_{1_M} factor through the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ that fixes all tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixed by ϕ.

2.2.2. Claim. *Both* $\tilde{\nu}_{1_M}$ *and* ν_{1_M} *factor through* $G_{B(k)}$.

Proof: Let $\sigma_0 := \phi \circ \mu(p)$. We have $\sigma_0(M) = \phi(\oplus_{i=1}^{b} p^{-1}\tilde{F}^i(M)) = \phi(\phi^{-1}(M)) = M$, cf. axiom 1.2.1 (a). Thus σ_0 is a σ-linear automorphism of M. As σ_0 normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ (cf. axioms 1.2.1 (a) and (b)), it also normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \mathrm{End}(M)$. Let

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := \{x \in M | \sigma_0(x) = x\}$$

and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := \{x \in \mathrm{Lie}(G) | \sigma_0(x) = x\}$. To prove the Claim we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. As $k = \bar{k}$, we have $M = M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k) \subseteq \mathrm{End}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$.

In this paragraph we check that there exists a unique reductive subgroup $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}])$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]$. The uniqueness part is implied by [3, Ch. II, Subsection 7.1]. To check the existence part, we consider commutative $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebras A such that there exists a reductive, closed subgroup scheme G_A of $\mathrm{GL}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A)$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A$. For instance, A can be $B(k)$ itself and thus we can assume A is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Q}_p$-subalgebra of $B(k)$ (cf. [10, Vol. III, Exp. XIX, Rm. 2.9]). By replacing A with A/J, where J is a maximal ideal of A, we can assume A is a finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Even more, we can assume that A is a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. As $\mathrm{Lie}(G_A) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A$, from [3, Ch. II, Subsection 7.1] we get that the natural action of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(A/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A$ is defined naturally by an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(A/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on the subgroup G_A of $\mathrm{GL}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A)$. This last action is free. As G_A is an affine scheme, the quotient $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of G_A by $\mathrm{Gal}(A/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ exists (cf. [4, Ch. 6, 6.1, Thm. 5]) and it is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Our notations match i.e., $G_{B(k)}$ is the pull back of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to $B(k)$ (cf. [3, Ch. II, Subsection 7.1]). Let $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the Zariski closure of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $\mathrm{GL}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$; its pull back to $W(k)$ is G. Thus $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{GL}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$; its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

Let $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}])$ that fixes t_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, cf. [9, Prop. 3.1 (c)]. Each t_α is fixed by both σ_0 and $\mu(p)$. Thus we have $\phi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Therefore $\tilde{\nu}_{1_M}$ fixes each t_α, cf. end of Subsubsection 2.2.1. Thus both $\tilde{\nu}_{1_M}$ and ν_{1_M} factor through $G_{B(k)}$. $\square$

2.2.3. Definition. By the Newton cocharacter (resp. quasi-cocharacter) of $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$ we mean the factorization of $\tilde{\nu}_{1_M}$ (resp. of ν_{1_M}) through $G_{B(k)}$. Similarly, for $g \in G(W(k))$ let $\nu_g \in \Xi(G_{B(k)})$ be the Newton quasi-cocharacter of $\mathcal{C}_g$.

2.3. Sign parabolic subgroup schemes.

Let $\mathfrak{LS}(1_M)$ be the set of Newton polygon slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), \phi)$. The composite of ν_{1_M} with the homomorphisms $G_{B(k)} \to \mathrm{GL}(M[\frac{1}{p}]) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{End}(M)[\frac{1}{p}])$, is the Newton quasi-cocharacter of $(\mathrm{End}(M)[\frac{1}{p}], \phi)$. Thus the Newton polygon slope decomposition

$$(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), \phi) = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{LS}(1_M)} (L_\gamma, \phi_\gamma)$$

is such that $\nu_{1_M}(p)$ acts via inner conjugation on L_γ as the multiplication by p^γ. As $\mathrm{Im}(\tilde{\nu}_{1_M})$ is a split torus of $G_{B(k)}$, the centralizer $C_G(\phi)$ of $\tilde{\nu}_{1_M}$ in $G_{B(k)}$ is a reductive group of the same rank as $G_{B(k)}$ (see [10, Vol. III, Exp. XIX, 2.8]). We have $\mathrm{Lie}(C_G(\phi)) = L_0$.

2.3.1. Lemma. *There exists a unique parabolic subgroup scheme* $P_G^+(\phi)$ *of* G *such that we have* $Lie(P_G^+(\phi)_{B(k)}) = \oplus_{\gamma\in\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}(1_M)\cap[0,\infty)}L_\gamma$. *The group* $C_G(\phi)$ *is a Levi subgroup of* $P_G^+(\phi)_{B(k)}$ *and* $L_{>0} := \oplus_{\gamma\in\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}(1_M)\cap(0,\infty)}L_\gamma$ *is the nilpotent radical of* $Lie(P_G^+(\phi)_{B(k)})$.

Proof: By replacing k with a finite Galois extension of k, we can assume G is split. Thus the group $C_G(\phi)$ is also split. Let $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_G(\phi))\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Phi}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be the root decomposition with respect to a split, maximal torus $T_G(\phi)$ of $C_G(\phi)$. Here Φ is a root system of characters of $T_G(\phi)$ whose irreducible factors are indexed by the simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(k)}$. Let γ_1, $\gamma_2\in\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}(1_M)$. If $\gamma_1+\gamma_2\notin\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}(1_M)$, let $L_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2} := 0$. As ϕ is a σ-linear Lie automorphism of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$, we have $[L_{\gamma_1}, L_{\gamma_2}]\subseteq L_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}$. Thus the set $\Phi_+ := \{\alpha\in\Phi|\mathfrak{g}_\alpha\subseteq L_0\oplus L_{>0}\}$ is a closed subset of Φ. Let Φ_0 be a simple factor of Φ. The intersection $\Phi_0\cap\Phi_+$ is a closed subset of Φ_0. As $\tilde\nu_{1_M}$ factors through $T_G(\phi)$, each L_γ is a direct sum of some $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$'s and we have $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha\subseteq L_\gamma$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}\subseteq L_{-\gamma}$. Thus the intersection $\Phi_0\cap\Phi_+$ is a parabolic subset of Φ_0 in the sense of [5, Ch. VI, 7, Def. 4] i.e., it is closed and we have $\Phi_0 = -(\Phi_0\cap\Phi_+)\cup\Phi_0\cap\Phi_+$. There exists a unique parabolic subgroup $P_G^+(\phi)_{B(k)}$ of $G_{B(k)}$ whose Lie algebra is $L_0\oplus L_{>0}$, cf. [10, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.4]. Obviously $L_{>0}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $L_0\oplus L_{>0}$ and thus also of the nilpotent radical $\mathfrak{n}$ of $L_0\oplus L_{>0}$. As $C_G(\phi)$ is reductive and $\mathrm{Lie}(C_G(\phi)) = L_0$, we have $\mathfrak{n}\cap L_0 = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{n} = L_{>0}$.

Therefore $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(\phi)_{B(k)}) = L_0\oplus\mathfrak{n} = \mathrm{Lie}(C_G(\phi))\oplus\mathfrak{n}$ and thus $C_G(\phi)$ is a Levi subgroup of $P_G^+(\phi)_{B(k)}$. As the $W(k)$-scheme that parametrizes parabolic subgroup schemes of G is projective (cf. [10, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Cor. 3.5]), the Zariski closure $P_G^+(\phi)$ of $P_G^+(\phi)_{B(k)}$ in G is a parabolic subgroup scheme of G. $\square$

A similar argument shows that there exists a unique parabolic subgroup scheme $P_G^-(\phi)$ of G such that we have $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^-(\phi)_{B(k)}) = \oplus_{\gamma\in\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}(1_M)\cap(-\infty,0]}L_\gamma$. The group $C_G(\phi)$ is also a Levi subgroup of $P_G^-(\phi)_{B(k)}$. Replacing the role of $\tilde\nu_{1_M}$ with the one of μ, we similarly get that the parabolic subgroup scheme P of Subsubsection 1.2.1 exists and is uniquely determined by the equality $\mathrm{Lie}(P) = \oplus_{i=0}^{b_L}\tilde F^i(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$. The next Corollary is only a variant of [21, Subsection 5.2].

2.3.2. Corollary. *The following three statements are equivalent:*

- **(a)** $P_G^+(\phi) = G$ *(or* $P_G^-(\phi) = G$*);*
- **(b)** $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$ *is basic;*
- **(c)** $\tilde\nu_{1_M}$ *(or* ν_{1_M}*) factors through* $Z^0(G_{B(k)})$.

Proof: Each statement is equivalent to the statement that $L_0 = \mathrm{Lie}(C_G(\phi))$ is $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$. $\square$

2.3.3. Definition. We call $P_G^+(\phi)$ (resp. $P_G^-(\phi)$) the non-negative (resp. non-positive) parabolic subgroup scheme of $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$. We call $C_G(\phi)$ the Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$.

2.3.4. Example. Suppose that $k = \bar k$, $(a, b) = (0, 2)$, $\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(M) = 4$, $G = \mathrm{GL}(M)$, $\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(\tilde F^2(M)) = \mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(\tilde F^0(M)) = 2$, and there exists a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_4\}$ for M formed by elements of $\tilde F^0(M)\cup\tilde F^2(M)$ and such that $\phi(e_i) = p^{n_i}e_i$, where $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ and $n_3 = n_4 = 2$. Let T be the maximal torus of $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ that normalizes $W(k)e_i$ for all $i\in S(1,4)$. Let $g\in G(W(k))$ be such that $\mathfrak{S}(g) = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}$, cf. [16, Thm. 2]. For each element $w\in G(W(k))$ that normalizes T, the F-crystal $(M, w\phi)$ over k has at least one

Newton polygon slope which is an integral (to check this one can assume that w permutes the set $\{e_1, \ldots, e_4\}$). Thus ν_g and ν_w are not $G(B(k))$-conjugate i.e., Theorem 1.3.3 does not hold in this case. The parabolic subgroup scheme of G that normalizes $M \cap M_{\frac{3}{2}}(g)$ is $P_G^+(g\phi)$. If there exists a Hodge cocharacter of $(M, g\phi, G)$ that factors through $P_G^+(g\phi)$, then $(M \cap M_{\frac{3}{2}}(g), g\phi)$ is a p-divisible object whose Hodge numbers belong to the set $\{0, 2\}$ and this, based on Mazur's theorem (see [19, Thm. 1.4.1]), contradicts the fact that the end point of Newton polygon of $(M \cap M_{\frac{3}{2}}(g), g\phi)$ is $(2, 3)$. Thus also the last part of Theorem 1.3.1 does not hold in this case.

2.4. Lemma. (a) *An element $h \in G(W(k))$ normalizes $\phi^{-1}(M)$ if and only if we have*

$$h(\tilde{F}^i(M)) \subseteq \oplus_{j=a}^{b} p^{\max\{0,i-j\}} \tilde{F}^j(M) \ \ \forall i \in S(a, b). \tag{6}$$

In particular, each element $h \in P(W(k))$ normalizes $\phi^{-1}(M)$.

(b) *For $h \in G(B(k))$ let $h_1 := \phi h \phi^{-1} \in GL(M)(B(k))$. We have $h_1 \in G(B(k))$. Also, h normalizes $\phi^{-1}(M)$ if and only if $h_1 \in G(W(k))$.*

(c) *We have $b_L \leq 1$ if and only if each element $h \in Ker(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$ normalizes $\phi^{-1}(M)$.*

Proof: We prove (a). As $h(M) = M$, the inclusions of (6) are equivalent to the inclusion $h(\phi^{-1}(M)) \subseteq \phi^{-1}(M)$. As $h(M) = M$ and as $p^b \phi^{-1}(M) \subseteq M$, by reasons of lengths of artinian $W(k)$-modules we get that $h(\phi^{-1}(M)) \subseteq \phi^{-1}(M)$ if and only if $h(\phi^{-1}(M)) = \phi^{-1}(M)$. Thus (6) holds if and only if h normalizes $\phi^{-1}(M)$. If $h \in P(W(k))$, then $h(\tilde{F}^i(M)) \subseteq h(F^i(M)) = F^i(M) = \oplus_{j=i}^{b} \tilde{F}^j(M)$; thus (6) holds and therefore h normalizes $\phi^{-1}(M)$. Thus (a) holds. To prove (b) we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $\sigma_0 = \phi \circ \mu(p) : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ be as in the proof of Claim 2.2.2. We have $h_1 = \sigma_0 \mu(\frac{1}{p}) h \mu(p) \sigma_0^{-1} \in \sigma_0 G(B(k)) \sigma_0^{-1} = G(B(k))$. Thus $h_1 \in G(W(k))$ if and only if $h_1(M) = M$. As $\phi^{-1}(h_1(M)) = h(\phi^{-1}(M))$, we have $h_1(M) = M$ if and only if $h(\phi^{-1}(M)) = \phi^{-1}(M)$. Thus (b) holds.

We prove (c). We assume that $b_L \leq 1$ and we check that each $h \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$ normalizes $\phi^{-1}(M)$. Based on (b), we only have to show that $h_1 \in G(W(k))$. As $b_L \leq 1$, $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ is a commutative Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ that is the Lie algebra of a connected, closed, smooth, commutative subgroup scheme U_1 of G. As $\mathrm{Lie}(P) = \tilde{F}^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \oplus \tilde{F}^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$, we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(U_1) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(P)$ of $W(k)$-modules (cf. (2)). Thus the product morphism $U_1 \times_{W(k)} P \to G$ is étale around the point $(1_M, 1_M) \in U_1(W(k)) \times P(W(k))$. Therefore we can write $h = u_h p_h$, where $p_h \in P(W(k))$ and $u_h \in U_1(W(k))$ are both congruent to 1_M mod p. Thus $h_1 = h_2 h_3$, where $h_2 := \phi u_h \phi^{-1}$ and $h_3 := \phi p_h \phi^{-1}$. From (a) and (b) we get that $h_3 \in G(W(k))$. To check that $h_2 \in G(W(k))$ we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. We have $h_2 = \sigma_0 \mu(\frac{1}{p}) u_h \mu(p) \sigma_0^{-1}$. As $u_h \in \mathrm{Ker}(U_1(W(k)) \to U_1(k))$ and $b_L \leq 1$, we have $\mu(\frac{1}{p}) u_h \mu(p) \in U_1(W(k))$. Thus $h_2 \in \sigma_0 U_1(W(k)) \sigma_0^{-1} \leqslant G(W(k))$. Therefore $h_1 = h_2 h_3 \in G(W(k))$.

We assume that all elements $h \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$ normalize $\phi^{-1}(M)$ and we check that $b_L \leq 1$. We show that the assumption $b_L \geq 2$ leads to a contradiction. Both Lie algebras $\tilde{F}^{-b_L}(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ and $\tilde{F}^{b_L}(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ are non-trivial and commutative. Let U_{b_L} be the non-trivial, connected, smooth, commutative, closed subgroup scheme of G

that has $\tilde{F}^{-b_L}(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ as its Lie algebra. If $u_{b_L} \in \mathrm{Ker}(U_{b_L}(W(k)) \to U_{b_L}(k))$ is not congruent mod p^{b_L} to 1_M, then $\mu(\frac{1}{p})u_{b_L}\mu(p) \in U_{b_L}(B(k)) \setminus U_{b_L}(W(k))$. If h is u_{b_L}, then $h_1 = \phi h \phi^{-1} \notin G(W(k))$ and thus h does not normalize $\phi^{-1}(M)$, cf. (b). Contradiction. Therefore $b_L \leq 1$. Thus (c) holds. $\square$

2.4.1. Fact. *If $h \in G(W(k))$ normalizes $\phi^{-1}(M)$, then $\mu_h := h\mu h^{-1} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ is a Hodge cocharacter of $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$.*

Proof: The Hodge decomposition of M produced by μ_h is $M = \oplus_{i=a}^{b} h(\tilde{F}^i(M))$. We have $\oplus_{i=a}^{b} p^{-i} h(\tilde{F}^i(M)) = h(\oplus_{i=a}^{b} p^{-1}\tilde{F}^i(M)) = h(\phi^{-1}(M)) = \phi^{-1}(M)$, cf. axiom 1.2.1 (a) and our hypothesis. Thus by very definitions, μ_h is a Hodge cocharacter of $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$. $\square$

2.5. The quasi-split case. Let G be quasi-split. We recall from the proof of Claim 2.2.2 that $\sigma_0 = \phi\mu(p)$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Let B be a Borel subgroup scheme of G that is contained in P and that has a maximal torus T through which μ factors. We have $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T)) = \sigma_0(\mathrm{Lie}(T))$ and $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(B)) \subseteq \sigma_0(\mathrm{Lie}(B))$. Thus $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T))$ is the Lie algebra of the maximal torus $T_0 := \sigma_0(T)$ of the Borel subgroup scheme $B_0 := \sigma_0(B_0)$ of G. Let $\bar{g}_0 \in G(k)$ be such that $\bar{g}_0(T_{0k})\bar{g}_0^{-1} = T_k$ and $\bar{g}_0(B_{0k})\bar{g}_0^{-1} = B_k$, cf. [3, Ch. IV, Thm. 15.14]. As $W(k)$ is p-adically complete, there exists $g_0 \in G(W(k))$ that lifts $\bar{g}_0$ and such that $g_0(T_0)g_0^{-1} = T$ (cf. [10, Vol. II, Exp. IX, Thm. 3.6]). The Borel subgroup schemes $g_0 B_0 g_0^{-1}$ and B of G contain T and have the same special fibre. This implies that $g_0 B_0 g_0^{-1} = B$. We have $g_0\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T)) = g_0(\mathrm{Lie}(T_0)) = \mathrm{Lie}(g_0 T g_0^{-1}) = \mathrm{Lie}(T)$. Thus as μ factors through T, the triple $(M, g_0\phi, T)$ is a p-divisible object with a reductive group. Moreover, $(g_0\phi)(\mathrm{Lie}(B)) \subseteq g_0(\mathrm{Lie}(B_0)) = \mathrm{Lie}(B)$.

2.6. Some $\mathbb{Z}_p$ structures. Until Section 3 we take $k = \bar{k}$. Let $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, and $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be as in the proof of Claim 2.2.2. The pair $(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ structure of $(M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. The difference between two such $\mathbb{Z}_p$ structures is measured by a torsor $\mathfrak{T}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. As $G_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is connected, Lang theorem (see [37, p. 132]) implies that $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is trivial. Thus $\mathfrak{T}$ is trivial (as $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is smooth). Thus the isomorphism class of the triple $(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is an invariant of $(\mathcal{C}_g)_{g \in G(W(k))}$. To be short, we refer to $(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ as the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ structure of $(M, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ defined by $\phi\mu(p)$. As σ_0 acts as $1_{\mathcal{T}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})} \otimes \sigma$ on $\mathcal{T}(M) := \mathcal{T}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ and as σ on $W(k)$-valued points of subgroup schemes of $\mathrm{GL}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$, from now on we denote σ_0 by σ. Also, if $W_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is an arbitrary free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, we denote $1_{W_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \otimes \sigma$ by σ.

If $b \in \mathrm{GL}(M)(B(k))$, then $\sigma(b) := \sigma b \sigma^{-1}$ and $\sigma(\mu)$ is the cocharacter of G such that we have $\sigma(\mu)(p^n) = \sigma(\mu(p^n))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For g and $g_1 \in G(W(k))$, the two triples $\mathcal{C}_g = (M, g\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}), G)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g_1} = (M, g_1\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}), G)$ are rational inner isomorphic if and only if the σ-conjugacy classes of the elements $g\sigma(\mu)(\frac{1}{p})$ and $g_1\sigma(\mu)(\frac{1}{p})$ of $G(B(k))$ are equal (i.e., if and only if there exists $h \in G(B(k))$ such that $hg\sigma(\mu)(\frac{1}{p})\sigma(h^{-1}) = g_1\sigma(\mu)(\frac{1}{p})$).

2.6.1. Quotients. We consider an affine, integral group scheme $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ that is the geometric quotient of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ through a flat, normal, closed subgroup scheme $H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. The fibres of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ are reductive group schemes (cf. [10, Vol. III, Exp. XIX, 1.7]). Thus $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is smooth as well as a reductive group scheme. Let $H := H_{W(k)}$. As $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, there exists a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $W_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of finite rank such that we have a closed embedding homomorphism $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ (cf. [10, Vol. I, Exp. VI_B, 11.11.1]). Let $\mu_{G/H}$ be the composite of μ with the epimorphism $G \twoheadrightarrow G/H$. Let $W := W_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. The triple $(W, \sigma\mu_{G/H}(\frac{1}{p}), G/H)$ is a p-divisible object with a reductive group and we refer to it as a quotient of $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$.

Let $g \in G(W(k))$. Let $t \in Z^0(G)(W(k))$. It is well known that there exists $t_1 \in Z^0(G)(W(k)) = Z^0(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(W(k))$ such that $t = t_1\sigma(t_1)^{-1}$ (this is a standard application of Lang theorem). Thus $t_1 g\phi t_1^{-1} = tg\phi$. We get:

2.6.2. Fact. *The element t_1 is an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{tg}$ and $\mathcal{C}_g$. If G is a torus, then $\mathcal{C}_g$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$ are inner isomorphic and thus the quasi-cocharacters ν_g and ν_{1_M} of $G(B(k))$ are $G(B(k))$-conjugate and therefore coincide.*

2.6.3. Fact. *The composite of ν_g with the epimorphism $G_{B(k)} \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{B(k)}$ does not depend on $g \in G(W(k))$. Moreover, for each $g \in G(W(k))$, there exists $\tilde{g} \in G^{\mathrm{der}}(W(k))$ such that $\mathcal{C}_g$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{g}}$ are inner isomorphic.*

Proof: Let g^{ab} be the image of g in $G^{\mathrm{ab}}(W(k))$. By taking $H_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, the first part follows from Fact 2.6.2 applied to $(W, \sigma\mu_{G^{\mathrm{ab}}}(\frac{1}{p}), G^{\mathrm{ab}})$ and $t = g^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Let $t_1 \in G^{\mathrm{ab}}(W(k))$ be such that $g^{\mathrm{ab}}\sigma\mu_{G^{\mathrm{ab}}}(\frac{1}{p}) = t_1\sigma\mu_{G^{\mathrm{ab}}}(\frac{1}{p})t_1^{-1}$. Let $g_1 \in P(W(k))$ be an element that maps to t_1. The element $\tilde{g} := g_1 g\phi g_1^{-1}\phi^{-1} \in G(W(k))$ (cf. Lemma 2.4 (a) and (b)) maps to the identity element of $G^{\mathrm{ab}}(W(k))$. Thus we have $\tilde{g} \in G^{\mathrm{der}}(W(k))$. As g_1 is an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_g$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{g}}$, the Fact follows. $\square$

From Corollary 2.3.2 and Fact 2.6.3 we get that for g_1, $g_2 \in G(W(k))$ we have:

2.6.4. Corollary. *If $\mathcal{C}_{g_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g_2}$ are basic, then $\nu_{g_1} = \nu_{g_2}$.*

2.6.5. Covers. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ has a maximal torus that splits over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})$. A result of Langlands (see [31, pp. 297–299]) implies that there exists an epimorphism $e_{\mathbb{Q}_p} : G^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $G^{1\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is an a priori given isogeny cover of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(e_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is a product of tori of the form $\mathrm{Res}_{B(\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbb{G}_m$. Thus $G^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is quasi-split and splits over an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $G^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be a reductive group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ that has $G^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ as its generic fibre, cf. [41, Subsubsections 1.10.2 and 3.8.1]. The Zariski closure $\mathrm{Ker}(e)$ of $\mathrm{Ker}(e_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ in $G^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a subtorus of $Z^0(G^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$. As two hyperspecial subgroups of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ are $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-conjugate (see [41, p. 47]), we can assume $(G^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}/\mathrm{Ker}(e))(\mathbb{Z}_p) = G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Thus we can identify $G^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}/\mathrm{Ker}(e) = G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, cf. [41, Subsubsection 3.8.1]. The epimorphism $e : G^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ extends $e_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Let $G^1 := G^1_{W(k)}$. As $\mathrm{Ker}(e)$ is a torus, μ lifts to a cocharacter $\mu_{G^1} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G^1$. Let $W^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank such that we have a closed embedding homomorphism $G^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$. Let $W^1 := W^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. We call the p-divisible object with a reductive group $(W^1, \sigma\mu_{G^1}(\frac{1}{p}), G^1)$ a cover of $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$. There exists a $G^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-epimorphism $\mathcal{T}(W^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]) \twoheadrightarrow M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]$, cf. [48, Thm. 3.5] and Weyl complete reductibility theorem. Thus ν_{1_M} is the composite of the Newton quasi-cocharacter of $(W^1, \sigma\mu_{G^1}(\frac{1}{p}), G^1)$ with $e_{B(k)}$. From Corollary 2.3.2 we get that the cover $(W, \sigma\mu_{G/H}(\frac{1}{p}), G/H)$ is basic if and only if $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$ is so.

2.7. Proposition. *We recall that* $k = \bar{k}$*. Let* g_1*,* $g_2 \in G(W(k))$ *be such that* $\mathcal{C}_{g_1}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_{g_2}$ *are basic. Then there exists* $h \in G(B(k))$ *such that* $hg_1\phi = g_2\phi h$*.*

Proof: We appeal to Subsubsection 2.6.5. As $\mathrm{Ker}(e)$ is a torus, there exists $g_i^1 \in G^1(W(k))$ that maps to g_i. Thus $(W^1, g_i^1\sigma\mu_{G^1}(\frac{1}{p}), G^1)$ is basic, cf. end of Subsubsection 2.6.5 (applied to $g_i^1\sigma$ instead of σ). So to simplify the presentation we can assume that $G^1 = G$ and that G^{der} is simply connected; thus $g_i^1 = g_i$. Let $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which represents (as in [36, Subsections 1.12 to 1.15]) the functor that associates to a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra R the group

$$G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}(R) = \{g_{11} \in G(B(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R) | g_{11}g_1(\sigma \otimes 1_R)\mu(\frac{1}{p}) = g_1(\sigma \otimes 1_R)\mu(\frac{1}{p})g_{11}\}; \tag{7}$$

the second equality of (7) is between $\sigma \otimes 1_R$-linear automorphisms of $M[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R$. We have $\nu_{g_1} = \nu_{g_2}$, cf. Corollary 2.6.4. Thus based on [35, Prop. 1.17] we can speak about the class $\delta \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p})$ that defines the left torsor $G_{12\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ defined by the rule: $G_{12\mathbb{Q}_p}(R) = \{g_{12} \in G(B(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R) | g_{12}g_1(\sigma \otimes 1_R)\mu(\frac{1}{p}) = g_2(\sigma \otimes 1_R)\mu(\frac{1}{p})g_{12}\}$. The image of δ in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{1\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is the identity element (cf. Fact 2.6.2) and the only class in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, G^{\mathrm{der}}_{1\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is the trivial one (as $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is simply connected, cf. [20]). Thus δ is the trivial class i.e., there exists $h \in G_{12\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \leqslant G(B(k))$. For such an h we have $hg_1\phi = g_2\phi h$. $\square$

3. Standard forms

Let $\mathcal{C}_{1_M} = (M, \phi, G)$ be a Shimura p-divisible object over k; we have $b_L \le 1$. Let $g \in G(W(k))$ be such that $\mathcal{C}_g$ is not basic. Let $P_G^+(g\phi)$ and $P_G^-(g\phi)$ be as in Definition 2.3.3; none of these two parabolic subgroup schemes of G are G itself (cf. Corollary 2.3.2). In this Section we study $\mathcal{C}_g$ up to inner isomorphisms. The goal is to present standard forms of $\mathcal{C}_g$. Properties of them are listed. In Subsection 3.1 we work with $P_G^+(g\phi)$ and in Subsection 3.2 we translate Subsection 3.1 in the context of $P_G^-(g\phi)$.

3.1. Non-negative standard forms. The intersection $P_k \cap P_G^+(g\phi)_k$ contains a maximal split torus $\tilde{T}_k$ of G_k, cf. [3, Ch. V, Prop. 20.7 (i)]. Let $\tilde{T}$ (resp. $\tilde{T}^+$) be a split torus of P (resp. of $P_G^+(g\phi)$) that lifts $\tilde{T}_k$, cf. [10, Vol. II, Exp. IX, Thms. 3.6 and 7.1]. Let $g_3 \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$ be such that $g_3\tilde{T}^+g_3^{-1} = \tilde{T}$, cf. loc. cit. Let $g_2 := \phi g_3^{-1}\phi^{-1}$. It is an element of $G(W(k))$, cf. Lemma 2.4 (b) and (c). By replacing $g\phi$ with $g_3 g\phi g_3^{-1} = g_3 g g_2\phi$ we can assume that $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}^+$. But $\tilde{T}$ is $P(W(k))$-conjugate to a maximal split torus of P that contains $\mathrm{Im}(\mu)$ (cf. loc. cit. and [3, Ch. IV, Thm. 15.14]). Thus by replacing μ with a $P(W(k))$-conjugate of it (cf. Fact 2.4.1 and Lemma 2.4 (a)), we can also assume that μ factors through $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}^+$ and therefore also through $P_G^+(g\phi)$.

3.1.1. Let $U_G^+(g\phi)$ be the unipotent radical of $P_G^+(g\phi)$. Let L^+ be a Levi subgroup scheme of $P_G^+(g\phi)$ that contains $\tilde{T}$. We identify L^+ with $P_G^+(g\phi)/U_G^+(g\phi)$. As $\mu(p)$ and $g\phi$ normalize $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)})$, the σ-linear automorphism $g\phi\mu(p)$ of M normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(g\phi)) = \mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}) \cap \mathrm{End}(M)$. Let L_0^+ be the Levi subgroup scheme of $P_G^+(g\phi)$ that has $g\phi\mu(p)(\mathrm{Lie}(L^+))$ as its Lie algebra. There exists $g_1 \in P_G^+(g\phi)(W(k))$ such that

$g_1(L_0^+)g_1^{-1}$ and L^+ coincide mod p, cf. [3, Ch. V, Prop. 20.5]. By replacing g_1 with a $\mathrm{Ker}(P_G^+(g\phi)(W(k)) \to P_G^+(g\phi)(k))$-multiple of it, we can assume that $g_1(L_0^+)g_1^{-1}$ and L^+ have a common maximal torus T^+ (cf. the infinitesimal liftings of [10, Vol. II, Exp. IX, Thm 3.6]). Thus $g_1(L_0^+)g_1^{-1} = L^+$. [Argument: we can assume T^+ is split and it suffices to show that the root systems of the inner conjugation actions of T^+ on $\mathrm{Lie}(g_1(L_0^+)g_1^{-1})$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(L^+)$ coincide; but this holds as it does mod p.]

Both $\mathrm{Lie}(L_{B(k)}^+)$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)})$ are normalized by $g_1g\phi$. We write $g_1^{-1} = u^+l^+$, where $u^+ \in U_G^+(g\phi)(W(k))$ and $l^+ \in L^+(W(k))$. Let $\phi_1 := (u^+)^{-1}g\phi = l^+g_1g\phi$. We have

$$g\phi = u^+\phi_1. \tag{8}$$

We refer to (8) as a non-negative standard form of $\mathcal{C}_g$. Here are its main properties.

3.1.2. Theorem. *We recall that $\mathcal{C}_g = (M, g\phi, G)$ is not basic, that L^+ is a Levi subgroup scheme of $P_G^+(g\phi)$, and that (up to inner isomorphism we can assume) μ factors through the split torus $\tilde{T}$ of $L^+ \cap P$. Referring to (8), we have:*

(a) *Both Lie algebras Lie$(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)})$ and Lie$(L_{B(k)}^+)$ are normalized by ϕ_1.*

(b) *The triple (M, ϕ_1, L^+) is a basic Shimura p-divisible object.*

(c) *There exists a rational inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_g$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(u^+)^{-1}g} = (M, \phi_1, G)$ defined by an element $h \in U_G^+(g\phi)(B(k))$. Thus the Newton quasi-cocharacters ν_g and $\nu_{(u^+)^{-1}g}$ (see Subsubsections 1.2.3 and 2.2.3 for notations) are $U_G^+(g\phi)(B(k))$-conjugate.*

(d) *The Levi subgroup $C_G((u^+)^{-1}g\phi)$ of $\mathcal{C}_{(u^+)^{-1}g}$ is $L_{B(k)}^+$.*

Proof: As u^+ and $g\phi$ normalize $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)})$, so does ϕ_1. As l^+ and $g_1g\phi$ normalize $\mathrm{Lie}(L_{B(k)}^+)$, so does $\phi_1 = l^+g_1g\phi$. Thus (a) holds. As ϕ_1 normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(L_{B(k)}^+)$ and as μ factors through the torus $\tilde{T}$ of L^+, the triple (M, ϕ_1, L^+) is a Shimura p-divisible object. The actions of $g\phi = u^+\phi_1$ and ϕ_1 on the quotient $B(k)$-vector space $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}))/\mathrm{Lie}(U_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)})$ are the same. Thus the Newton polygon slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(L_{B(k)}^+), \phi_1)$ are equal to the Newton polygon slopes of the action of $g\phi = u^+\phi_1$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}))/\mathrm{Lie}(U_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)})$ and therefore are all 0. Thus (M, ϕ_1, L^+) is basic i.e., (b) holds.

We prove (c). We have to show the existence of an element $h \in U_G^+(g\phi)(B(k))$ such that $\phi_1 = hu^+\phi_1h^{-1}$. As $\mathcal{C}_g$ is not basic, the set $\mathfrak{LS}^+$ of Newton polygon slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(U_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}), \phi_1)$ is non-empty. As the group scheme $U_G^+(\phi)$ is unipotent, $\mathrm{Lie}(U_G^+(\phi))$ has a characteristic series whose factors are fixed by $U_G^+(\phi)$. This implies that the Newton polygons of $(\mathrm{Lie}(U_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}), \phi_1)$ and $(\mathrm{Lie}(U_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}), u^+\phi_1)$ coincide. Thus $\mathfrak{LS}^+ \in (0, \infty)$, cf. Lemma 2.3.1 applied to $\mathcal{C}_g = (M, u^+\phi_1, G)$.

Let $(\mathrm{Lie}(U_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}), \phi_1) = \oplus_{\eta \in \mathfrak{LS}^+}(N_\eta, \phi_1)$ be the Newton polygon slope decomposition. Let $\eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_q$ be the elements in $\mathfrak{LS}^+$ ordered increasingly. As $\mathfrak{LS}^+ \in (0, \infty)$, we have $0 < \eta_1$. For $i \in S(1, q)$ we check that there exists a connected, unipotent subgroup U_i of $U_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}$ such that $\mathrm{Lie}(U_i) = \oplus_{\eta \in \mathfrak{LS}^+ \cap [\eta_i, \infty)} N_\eta$.

The uniqueness of U_i is implied by [3, Ch. II, Subsection 7.1]. As $\oplus_{\eta \in \mathfrak{LS}^+ \cap [\eta_i, \infty)} N_\eta$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)})$, the direct sum $S_i := \oplus_{\eta \in \mathfrak{LS}^+ \cap [\eta_i, \infty)} N_\eta \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(T_{B(k)}^+)$ is a Lie subalgebra of Lie($P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}$). As $[\mathrm{Lie}(T^+_{B(k)}), N_\eta] = N_\eta$, we have $[S_i, S_i] = \oplus_{\eta\in\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}^+\cap[\eta_i,\infty)} N_\eta$. Thus the existence of U_i is implied by [3, Ch. II, Cor. 7.9]. Let U_{q+1} be the trivial subgroup of $U_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}$. For $i \in S(1,q)$ we have $U_{i+1} \lhd U_i \lhd P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}$.

By induction on $i \in S(1,q)$ we show there exists an element $h_i \in U_i(B(k))$ such that we have $h_ih_{i_1}\cdots h_1u^+\phi_1h_1^{-1}\cdots h_i^{-1}\phi_1^{-1} \in U_{i+1}(B(k))$. To ease notations we will only show the existence of h_1 (the existence of $h_2,\ldots,h_q$ is argued entirely in the same way). The quotient group U_1/U_2 is unipotent and commutative and thus we can identify it with the affine vector group defined by Lie(U_1/U_2). We also identify $\mathrm{Lie}(U_1/U_2) = N_{\eta_1}$. Thus $u^+ \in U_1(B(k))$ modulo $U_2(B(k))$ is identified with an element $\tilde{u}_1 \in N_{\eta_1}$. We fix a finite sequence $(\mathbb{G}_a(s))_{s\in I_1}$ of $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroups of U_1 normalized by $\tilde{T}_{B(k)}$ and such that $\oplus_{s\in I_1}\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_a(s)) = N_{\eta_1}$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ let $h_{1j} \in U_1(B(k))$ be such that we have:

(i) its image in $(U_1/U_2)(B(k))$ is identified with $(-1)^{j+1}\phi_1^j(\tilde{u}_1)$, and

(ii) it is a product of $B(k)$-valued points of these $\mathbb{G}_a(s)$ subgroups of U_1.

As $\eta_1 > 0$ the sequence $(\phi_1^j(\tilde{u}_1))_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ converges to 0 in the p-adic topology of N_{η_1}. Due to this and (ii) it makes sense to define $h_1 := (\prod_{j=0}^\infty h_{1j}^{-1})^{-1} \in U_1(B(k))$. But $h_{1j}\cdots h_{11}h_{10}u^+\phi_1h_{10}^{-1}\cdots h_{1j}^{-1}\phi_1^{-1} \in U_1(B(k))$ modulo $U_2(B(k))$ is $(-1)^j\phi_1^{j+1}(\tilde{u}_1)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Therefore $h_1u^+\phi_1h_1^{-1}\phi_1^{-1} \in U_1(B(k))$ modulo $U_2(B(k))$ is the p-adic limit of the sequence $((-1)^j\phi_1^{j+1}(\tilde{u}_1))_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ and thus it is 0. Thus $h_1u^+\phi_1h_1^{-1}\phi_1^{-1} \in U_2(B(k))$.

This ends the induction. If $h := h_qh_{q-1}\cdots h_1 \in U_G^+(g\phi)(B(k))$, then we have $hu^+\phi_1h^{-1}\phi_1^{-1} = 1_M$ and therefore h defines a rational inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_g$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(u^+)^{-1}g}$. Thus (c) holds.

We prove (d). We have $L^+_{B(k)} \leqslant C_G((u^+)^{-1}g\phi)$, cf. (b). As $C_G((u^+)^{-1}g\phi)$ and $C_G(g\phi)$ are isomorphic (cf. (c)) and as $L^+_{B(k)}$ and $C_G(g\phi)$ are isomorphic, $C_G((u^+)^{-1}g\phi)$ and $L^+_{B(k)}$ are isomorphic. By reasons of dimensions we get $C_G((u^+)^{-1}g\phi) = L^+_{B(k)}$. □

3.2. Non-positive standard forms. Let $U_G^-(g\phi)$ be the unipotent radical of $P_G^-(g\phi)$. There exists a maximal split torus $\tilde{T}^-$ of $P_G^-(g\phi)$ and a Levi subgroup scheme L^- of $P_G^-(g\phi)$ that contains $\tilde{T}^-$, such that up to inner isomorphisms we can assume that μ factors through $\tilde{T}^-$ and that we can write $g\phi = u^-\phi_2$, where $u^- \in U_G^-(g\phi)(W(k))$ and (M, ϕ_2, L^-) is a basic Shimura p-divisible object. The proof of this is entirely the same as the proof of Theorem 3.1.2 (c). The only significant difference is: in connection to property 3.1.2 (i) we have to work in "reverse" order i.e., we have to replace $(-1)^{j+1}\phi_1^j(\tilde{u}_1)$ by $(-1)^j\phi_2^{-j-1}(\tilde{u}_1)$.

4. Proofs of the basic results and complements

In Subsection 4.1 we prove Theorem 1.3.1 and Corollary 1.3.2. In Subsection 4.2 we prove Theorem 1.3.3. In Subsections 4.3 to 4.7 we include some conclusions and complements to Theorem 1.3.3. See Subsection 4.6 for examples. See Subsection 4.7 for the quasi-polarized context. Let $\mathcal{C}1_M = (M, \phi, G)$ be a Shimura p-divisible object over k; thus $b_L \leq 1$. We use the notations of the last paragraph of Subsection 2.1. Let $g \in G(W(k))$. Let $P_G^+(g\phi)$ be as in Definition 2.3.3.

4.1. Proofs of 1.3.1 and 1.3.2. We know that the first part of Theorem 1.3.1 holds, cf. Lemma 2.3.1. We prove the second part of Theorem 1.3.1 (for $b_L \leq 1$). If $\mathcal{C}_g$ is basic, then $P_G^+(g\phi) = G$ (cf. Corollary 2.3.2) and thus there exist Hodge cocharacters of $\mathcal{C}_g$ that factor through $P_G^+(g\phi)$. If $\mathcal{C}_g$ is not basic, then there exists a Hodge cocharacter of $\mathcal{C}_g$ that factors through $P_G^+(g\phi)$, cf. 3.1. This proves Theorem 1.3.1.

We prove Corollary 1.3.2 (a). Let $P^+_{\mathrm{GL}(M)}(g\phi)$ be the non-negative parabolic subgroup scheme of $(M, g\phi, \mathrm{GL}(M))$. It is the parabolic subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ that normalizes $W^\gamma(M, g\phi)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(g)$. Obviously $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(P^+_{\mathrm{GL}(M)}(g\phi)_{B(k)})$. Thus $P_G^+(g\phi)_{B(k)}$ is a subgroup of $P^+_{\mathrm{GL}(M)}(g\phi)_{B(k)}$, cf. [3, Ch. II, Subsection 7.1]. This implies that $P_G^+(g\phi)$ is a subgroup scheme of $P^+_{\mathrm{GL}(M)}(g\phi)$. Therefore each Hodge cocharacter of $\mathcal{C}_g$ that factors through $P_G^+(g\phi)$, normalizes $W^\gamma(M, g\phi)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(g)$. Thus Corollary 1.3.2 (a) follows from Theorem 1.3.1.

We prove Corollary 1.3.2 (b). If $\mathcal{C}_g$ is basic, then the Newton cocharacter of $\mathcal{C}_g$ factors through $Z^0(G_{B(k)})$ (cf. Corollary 2.3.2) and therefore it extends to a cocharacter of $Z^0(G)$. Thus we have a direct sum decomposition $M = \oplus_{\gamma\in\mathfrak{S}(g)} M \cap M_\gamma(g)$ normalized by all cocharacters of $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ that commutes with $Z^0(G)$ and therefore also by all Hodge cocharacters of $\mathcal{C}_g$.

If $\mathcal{C}_g$ is not basic, then up to a rational inner isomorphism we can assume there exists a Levi subgroup scheme L^+ of $P_G^+(g\phi)$ such that $(M, g\phi, L^+)$ is a basic Shimura p-divisible object (cf. Theorem 3.1.2 (b) and (c)). As a Hodge cocharacter of $(M, g\phi, L^+)$ is also a Hodge cocharacter of $\mathcal{C}_g$, we can apply the basic part of the previous paragraph to $(M, g\phi, L^+)$. This proves Corollary 1.3.2 (b) and ends the proofs of Theorem 1.3.1 and Corollary 1.3.2.

4.1.1. Remark. Replacing non-negative by non-positive and the references to Subsection 3.1 by ones to Subsection 3.2, we similarly get that there exist Hodge cocharacters of $\mathcal{C}_g$ that factor through $P_G^-(g\phi)$.

4.2. Proof of 1.3.3. Until Corollary 4.3 we assume that G is split and that $b_L \leq 1$. Let T and B be as in Subsection 2.5. Thus μ factors through the maximal torus T. We can assume that $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T)) = \mathrm{Lie}(T)$ and that $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(B)) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(B)$, cf. Subsection 2.5. Let N be the normalizer of T in G. If $\tilde{w} \in N(W(k))$, then $\tilde{w}\phi$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$. Thus $(M, \tilde{w}\phi, T)$ is a Shimura p-divisible object. From Fact 2.6.2 we get:

4.2.1. Fact. *If $k = \bar{k}$, then for each $t \in T(W(k))$ and $\tilde{w} \in N(W(k))$, there exists an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{t\tilde{w}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{w}}$ defined by an element $t_1 \in T(W(k))$.*

We prove Theorem 1.3.3 in two Steps. The first step deals with the basic context as well as introduces notations to be used in Subsections 4.5 and 4.6 and Section 5 (see Subsubsection 4.2.2) and the second step achieves the reduction to the basic context (see Subsubsection 4.2.3).

4.2.2. Step 1. To show that there exists $w \in N(W(k))$ such that $\mathcal{C}_w$ is basic, we can assume that $k = \bar{k}$ (cf. Fact 4.2.1); let $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be obtained as in the proof of Claim 2.2.2. We can also assume that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is adjoint (cf. Subsubsection 2.6.1 applied with $H_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = Z(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$) and $\mathbb{Z}_p$-simple and that $b_L = 1$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Lie type of an arbitrary simple factor of G. It is well known that $\mathfrak{L}$ is not E_8, F_4, or G_2 (the maximal roots of these Lie types have all coefficients different from 1; see [5, plates VII to IX] and [8]). Let r be the rank of $\mathfrak{L}$. We write $G_k = \prod_{i\in I} G_{ik}$ as a product of absolutely simple, adjoint groups over k (cf. [40, Subsubsection 3.2.1]). This decomposition lifts to a product decomposition

$$G = \prod_{i\in I} G_i$$

in absolutely simple, adjoint group schemes over $W(k)$ (cf. [10, Vol. III, Exp. XXIV, Prop. 1.21]). Let $T_i := T \cap G_i$. Let $B_i := B \cap G_i$. Let $\mathrm{Lie}(G_i) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_i) \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Phi_i} \mathfrak{g}_{i\,\alpha}$ be the root decomposition with respect to the split, maximal torus T_i of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_i)$. As $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-simple, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an absolutely simple adjoint group scheme J over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ such that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \mathrm{Res}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})/\mathbb{Z}_p} J$, cf. [40, Subsubsection 3.1.2] and [10, Vol. III, Exp. XXIV, Prop. 1.21]. As σ permutes transitively the simple factors of G, ϕ permutes transitively the $\mathrm{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}]$'s. Thus we can assume that $I = S(1,n)$ and that $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(G_i)) \subseteq G_{i+1}[\frac{1}{p}]$, where $G_{n+1} := G_1$.

Let $\Phi_i^+ := \{\alpha \in \Phi_i | \mathfrak{g}_{i\,\alpha} \in \mathrm{Lie}(B_i)\}$. Let $\Delta_i \subseteq \Phi_i^+$ be the basis of Φ_i contained in Φ_i^+. Let $\alpha_1(i), \ldots, \alpha_r(i) \in \Delta_i$ be denoted as in [5, plates I to VII] except that we put a lateral right index (i). As $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(B)) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(B)$ we have $\phi^n(\mathrm{Lie}(B_i)) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(B_i)$. Thus there exists a permutation π_i of Φ_i^+ that normalizes Δ_i and such that we have $\phi^n(\mathfrak{g}_{i\,\alpha}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{i\,\pi_i(\alpha)}[\frac{1}{p}]$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_i$. The order of π_i does not depend on $i \in I$ and therefore we denote it by o. We have $o \in \{1,2,3\}$, cf. the structure of the group of automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram of G_i (it is trivial, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, or S_6; see loc. cit.). Let $w_0 \in (N \cap G_1)(W(k))$ be such it takes B_1 to its opposite with respect to T_1; see item XI of [5, plates I to VII]. The following six Cases recall the most "practical" elliptic element of $(N \cap G_1)(W(k))$.

Case 1. Suppose that $\mathfrak{L}$ is B_r, C_r, or E_7 ($r \in \mathbb{N}$). Thus $o = 1$ and w_0 takes $\alpha \in \Phi_1$ to $-\alpha$, cf. loc. cit. Let $n_1 := n$. For $\alpha \in \Phi_1$ we have $(w_0\phi)^{n_1}(\mathfrak{g}_{1\,\alpha}) = p^{s_\alpha}\mathfrak{g}_{1\,-\alpha}$, with $s_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $w_0\phi$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$, all Newton polygon slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(T), w_0\phi)$ are 0. As we have $s_{-\alpha} = -s_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_1$, all Newton polygon slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})/\mathrm{Lie}(T_{B(k)}), w_0\phi)$ are also 0. Thus all Newton polygon slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), w_0\phi)$ are 0.

Case 2. Suppose that either $o = 2$ and $\mathfrak{L} \in \{A_r | r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}\} \cup \{D_r | r-3 \in 2\mathbb{N}\} \cup \{E_6\}$ or $o = 1$ and $\mathfrak{L} \in \{D_r | r-2 \in 2\mathbb{N}\}$. This Case is the same as Case 1.

Case 3. Suppose that $o = 3$. Thus $\mathfrak{L} = D_4$ and w_0 takes $\mathfrak{g}_{1\alpha_1(i)}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_{1-\alpha_1(i)}$. For $\alpha \in \Phi_1$ we have $(w_0\phi)^{3n}(\mathfrak{g}_{1\alpha}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{1-\alpha}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Thus this Case is the same as Case 1 but with $n_1 := 3n$.

Case 4. Suppose that $o = 1$ and $\mathfrak{L} = A_r$, with $r \geq 2$. The simply connected semisimple group scheme cover G_1^{sc} of G_1 is the SL group scheme of a free $W(k)$-module M_0 of rank $r+1$. We choose a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{r+1}\}$ for M_0 such that the inverse image of T_1 in G_1^{sc} normalizes $W(k)e_s$ for all $s \in S(1, r+1)$. Let w be the image in $N(W(k))$ of an element w^{sc} of $G_1^{\mathrm{sc}}(W(k))$ that takes $W(k)e_s$ onto $W(k)e_{s+1}$ for all $s \in S(1, r+1)$, where $e_{r+2} := e_1$. As $o = 1$ and due to the circular form of w^{sc}, all Newton polygon slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}], w\phi)$ are 0.

Case 5. Suppose that $o = 1$ and $\mathfrak{L} = E_6$. Let G_0 be the reductive subgroup scheme of G whose Lie algebra is generated by $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{i,\alpha}$'s, where $i \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Phi_i \cap \sum_{j=1}^5 \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i(j)$, cf. [10, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Thm. 5.4.7 and Prop. 5.10.1]. Thus G_0^{ad} is a product of n

absolutely simple adjoint group schemes of A_5 Lie type whose Lie algebras are permuted transitively by ϕ. The group scheme G_0 is the centralizer of $Z^0(G_0)$ in G and we have $T \leqslant G_0$. Thus μ factors through G_0. As $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{0B(k)})$ is normalized by ϕ, we get that the triple (M, ϕ, G_0) is a Shimura p-divisible object. As w_0 normalizes $G_0 \cap G_1$, the triple $(M, w_0\phi, G_0)$ is also a Shimura p-divisible object. As $o = 1$, from Case 4 we deduce the existence of $w_1 \in (G_0 \cap G_1 \cap N)(W(k))$ such that $(M, w\phi, G_0)$ is basic, where $w := w_1 w_0$. Thus the Newton quasi-cocharacter ν_{0w} of $(M, w\phi, G_0)$ factors through $Z^0(G_{0B(k)})$. Its composite with the epimorphism $e_0 : G_{0B(k)} \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{0B(k)}$ is the composite ν_0 of the Newton quasi-cocharacter of $(M, w_0\phi, G_0)$ with e_0. We have $w_0(\mathfrak{g}_{1\alpha_1(i)}) = \mathfrak{g}_{1-\alpha_1(j)}$, where $(i, j) \in \{(1, 6), (6, 1), (3, 5), (5, 3), (2, 2), (4, 4)\}$ (cf. [5, plate V, item (IX)]). Thus the maximal subtorus of T_1 fixed by w_0 has rank 2. A similar argument shows that w_0 fixes a subtorus of $T_1 \cap G_0^{\mathrm{der}}$ of rank 2. Thus the automorphism of the rank 1 torus $G_1 \cap Z^0(G_0)$ induced by w_0 is non-trivial. Therefore ν_0 is trivial. Thus ν_{0w} is trivial i.e., $\mathcal{C}_w$ is basic.

Case 6. Suppose that either $o = 2$ and $\mathcal{L} \in \{D_r | r - 2 \in 2\mathbb{N}\}$ or $o = 1$ and $\mathcal{L} \in \{D_r | r - 3 \in 2\mathbb{N}\}$. Let $y \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ be such that mod p is not a square. If r is odd (resp. even), then the group scheme J is split (resp. non-split and splits over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2n}})$). Thus J is the adjoint group scheme of the SO group scheme of the quadratic form $x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \cdots + x_{2r-1}x_{2r}$ (resp. $x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \cdots + x_{2r-3}x_{2r-2} + x^2_{2r-1} - yx^2_{2r}$) on $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})^{2r}$, cf. [3, Ch. V, Subsections 23.4 to 23.6] for the case of special fibres. Let T^0_J be a torus of J of rank $r - 3$ that is a product of non-split rank 1 tori and whose centralizer C_J in J is such that C^{ad}_J is split, absolutely simple of A_3 Lie type. The existence of T^0_J is a consequence of the fact that the SO group scheme of the quadratic form $x_{2i-3}x_{2i-2} + x_{2i-1}x_{2i}$, $i \in 2\mathbb{N} \cap S(1, r - 3)$, has a maximal torus that is a product of two non-split rank 1 tori. Let T_J be a maximal torus of C_J that contains T^0_J. Let $h \in G(W(k))$ be such that hTh^{-1} is $\tilde{T} := (\mathrm{Res}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})/\mathbb{Z}_p} T_J)_{W(k)}$. Let $\tilde{C} := (\mathrm{Res}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})/\mathbb{Z}_p} C_J)_{W(k)}$; it is a subgroup scheme of G. Let $\tilde{\mu} := h\mu h^{-1}$; it is a cocharacter of $\tilde{T}$. The triple $(M, \sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}), \tilde{C})$ is a Shimura p-divisible object (we recall that $\sigma : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ fixes $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, see Subsection 2.6). Let $\tilde{w} \in \tilde{C}(W(k))$ be an element that normalizes $\tilde{T}$ and such that $(M, \tilde{w}\sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}), \tilde{C})$ is basic, cf. Case 4. The Newton cocharacter $\tilde{\nu}_{\tilde{w}}$ of $(M, \tilde{w}\sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}), \tilde{C})$ factors through $\tilde{T}^0 := Z^0(\tilde{C}) = (\mathrm{Res}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})/\mathbb{Z}_p} T^0_J)_{W(k)}$, cf. Corollary 2.3.2. As T^0_J is a product of non-split rank 1 tori, the product of the cocharacters of the orbit under σ of each cocharacter of $\tilde{T}^0/Z(\tilde{C}^{\mathrm{der}}) \cap \tilde{T}^0$, is the trivial cocharacter. Thus the Newton cocharacter $\tilde{\nu}_{\tilde{w}}$ is trivial. Thus $(M, \tilde{w}\sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}), G)$ is also basic. But we can write $h^{-1}\tilde{w}\sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p})h = w\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) = w\phi$, where $w \in G(W(k))$ is an element that normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$. We have $w \in N(W(k))$ and moreover $\mathcal{C}_w$ is basic.

We come back to the case when k is arbitrary and G is split. We prove Theorem 1.3.3 for $\mathcal{C}_g$ basic. Let $w \in N(W(k))$ be such that $\mathcal{C}_w$ is basic (cf. the six Cases). We have $\nu_g = \nu_w$, cf. Corollary 2.6.4. Thus $\mathcal{C}_w \otimes_k \bar{k}$ and $\mathcal{C}_g \otimes_k \bar{k}$ are rational inner isomorphic, cf. Proposition 2.7. Thus Theorem 1.3.3 holds if $\mathcal{C}_g$ is basis. T prove this we did not use that $b_L = 1$ and moreover Case 1 works also for the E_8, F_4, and G_2 Lie types. Thus for each p-divisible object $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{G})$ with a group over a perfect field $\tilde{k}$ such that $\tilde{G}$ is a split, reductive group scheme, there exists $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}(W(\tilde{k}))$ such that $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{G})$ is basic.

4.2.3. Step 2. We assume $\mathcal{C}_g$ is not basic. Let $U^+_G(g\phi)$ be the unipotent radical of $P^+_G(g\phi)$.

Let $\tilde{T}$ be a split, maximal torus of $P_G^+(g\phi)$. Up to an inner isomorphism we can assume $\tilde{T}$ is also a maximal torus of P, cf. Subsection 3.1. Two split, maximal tori of P are $P(W(k))$-conjugate. Thus up to an inner isomorphism defined by an element of $P(W(k))$ we can assume $\tilde{T} = T \leqslant P^+(g\phi)$. Let L^+ be the Levi subgroup scheme of $P_G^+(g\phi)$ that contains T. We write $g\phi = u^+\phi_1$, where $u^+ \in U_G^+(g\phi)(W(k))$ and (M, ϕ_1, L^+) is a basic Shimura p-divisible object (cf. Subsubsection 3.1.1 and Theorem 3.1.2 (b)). Let $\tilde{g}_0 \in L^+(W(k))$ be such that $\tilde{g}_0\phi_1$ normalizes Lie(T) and takes the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup scheme of L^+ contained in $L^+ \cap P$ to itself (see Subsection 2.5). Let $\tilde{w}_0 := \tilde{g}_0(u^+)^{-1}g \in G(W(k))$. We have $\tilde{w}_0\phi = \tilde{g}_0\phi_1$ and therefore $\tilde{w}_0$ normalizes Lie(T). Thus $\tilde{w}_0 \in N(W(k))$. From the end of Subsubsection 4.2.2 we get the existence of $w^+ \in (N \cap L^+)(W(k))$ such that if $w := w^+\tilde{w}_0 \in N(W(k))$, then $\mathfrak{C}_w := (M, w^+\tilde{g}_0\phi_1, L^+) = (M, w\phi, L^+)$ is basic. But $\mathfrak{C}_{(u^+)^{-1}} := (M, \phi_1, L^+) = (M, (u^+)^{-1}g\phi, L^+)$ is also basic. So as $w^+\tilde{g}_0 \in L^+(W(k))$, the Newton quasi-cocharacters of $\mathfrak{C}_w$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{(u^+)^{-1}}$ coincide (cf. Corollary 2.6.4). From this and Theorem 3.1.2 (c) we get that ν_g and ν_w are $G(B(k))$-conjugate. Suppose that $k = \bar{k}$. We know that $\mathcal{C}_{(u^+)^{-1}g}$ and $\mathcal{C}_w$ (resp. and $\mathcal{C}_g$) are rational inner isomorphic, cf. Proposition 2.7 applied to $\mathfrak{C}_{(u^+)^{-1}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_w$ (resp. cf. Theorem 3.1.2 (c)). Thus $\mathcal{C}_g$ and $\mathcal{C}_w$ are rational inner isomorphic. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.3.3.

4.3. Corollary. *We assume* $b_L \le 1$ *and we use the notations of Subsubsection 1.2.3 for* $g \in G(W(k))$. *Up to a rational inner isomorphism and up to an extension to a finite field extension of* k, *we can assume that we have a direct sum decomposition* $M := \oplus_{\gamma\in\mathfrak{S}(g)} M \cap M_\gamma(g)$ *and that* $\mathcal{C}_g \otimes_k \bar{k}$ *is the extension to* $\bar{k}$ *of a Shimura* p*-divisible object over a finite field.*

Proof: We can assume that G is split and (cf. Subsection 2.5) that ϕ normalizes the Lie algebra of a split, maximal torus T of G through which μ factors. Let $w \in N(W(k))$ and $h \in G(B(\bar{k}))$ be as in Theorem 1.3.3 (b). The $W(\bar{k})$-lattice $h(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}))$ of $M \otimes_{W(k)} B(\bar{k})$ is defined over the strict henselization of $W(k)$ and therefore also over $W(k_1)$ with k_1 a finite field extension of k. Let M_1 be the $W(k_1)$-lattice of $M \otimes_{W(k)} B(k_1)$ such that we have $h(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k})) = M_1 \otimes_{W(k_1)} W(\bar{k})$. Let G_1 be the Zariski closure of $G_{B(k_1)}$ in GL(M_1). For $h_1 \in hG(W(\bar{k})) \cap G(B(k_1))$ we have $h_1(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)) = M_1$. We choose h_1 such that $h^{-1}h_1$ is congruent to $1_{M\otimes_{W(k)}W(\bar{k})}$ modulo a high power of p; this implies that $h_1\mu_{W(k_1)}h_1^{-1}$ is a Hodge cocharacter of $(M_1, g\phi \otimes \sigma_{k_1}, G_1)$. Thus we can write $h_1^{-1}(g\phi \otimes \sigma_{k_1})h_1 = h_2(\phi \otimes \sigma_{k_1})$, with $h_2 \in G(W(k_1))$. The element h_1 is a rational inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{h_2} := (M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), h_2(\phi \otimes \sigma_{k_1}), G_{W(k_1)})$ and $\mathcal{C}_g \otimes_k k_1$. Moreover $h_1^{-1}h \in G(W(\bar{k}))$ is an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_w \otimes_k \bar{k}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{h_2} \otimes_{k_1} \bar{k}$. Thus to prove the Corollary, we can assume $g = w$. To check the last part of the Corollary we can assume $k = \bar{k}$.

As $w\phi$ normalizes Lie(T), the Newton cocharacter of $\mathcal{C}_w$ factors through $T_{B(k)}$ and thus it extends to a cocharacter of T. Therefore we have a direct sum decomposition $M := \oplus_{\gamma\in\mathfrak{S}(w)} M \cap M_\gamma(w)$ normalized by T and thus also by μ. Let $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^w := \{x \in M | w\phi\mu(p)(x) = x\}$. As in the proof of Claim 2.2.2 we argue that T and G are the extensions to $W(k)$ of a torus $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^w$ of GL$(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^w)$ and respectively of a reductive subgroup scheme of GL$(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^w)$ isomorphic to $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (see Subsection 2.6) and accordingly denoted also by $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$; we have $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^w \leqslant G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ as this holds after pull back to Spec$(W(k))$. Let k_0 be a finite field such that the cocharacter μ of T^w is the pull back of a cocharacter μ_0 of $T^w_{W(k_0)}$. We conclude that $\mathcal{C}_w$ is the extension to $k = \bar{k}$ of the Shimura p-divisible object $(M^w_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k_0), (1 \otimes \sigma_{k_0})\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}), G_{W(k_0)})$ over k_0. $\square$

4.4. Corollary. *Suppose that $k = \bar{k}$ and $b_L \leq 1$. Let $o(W_G)$ be the order of the Weyl group W_G of G. Let k_1 be an algebraically closed field that contains k. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the equivalence relation on the set $\{(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g(\phi \otimes \sigma_{k_1}), G_{W(k_1)})|g \in G(W(k_1))\}$ of Shimura p-divisible objects, defined by rational inner isomorphisms. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be the cardinal of the set of equivalence classes of $\mathcal{R}$. Then $\mathfrak{R} \in \{1, \ldots, o(W_G)\}$ and it does not depend on k_1.*

Proof: We can assume we are in the context of Theorem 1.3.3. The estimate $\mathfrak{R} \leq o(W_G)$ follows from Theorem 1.3.3 (b) and Fact 4.2.1. All $\mathcal{C}_w$'s with $w \in N(W(k))$ are definable over finite fields (cf. end of Corollary 4.3) and each rational inner isomorphism between extensions to k_1 of two such $\mathcal{C}_w$'s is defined by an element of $G(B(k)) = G(B(k_1)) \cap \mathrm{GL}(M)(B(k))$ (cf. [35, Lemma 3.9]). Thus the number $\mathfrak{R}$ is independent of k_1. $\square$

4.5. On $\mathfrak{R}$. Until Section 5 we assume $k = \bar{k}$. The estimate $\mathfrak{R} \leq o(W_G)$ is gross. Often one can compute $\mathfrak{R}$ explicitly in two steps as follows. Let T, μ, B, and g_0 be as in Subsection 2.5. To ease notations we will assume that $g_0 = 1_M$. Thus ϕ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ and takes $\mathrm{Lie}(B)$ to itself. Let σ_0, $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, and $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be obtained as in the proof of Claim 2.2.2. We have $\sigma_0 = 1_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \otimes \sigma$ and $\phi = \sigma_0\mu((\frac{1}{p}) = (1_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \otimes \sigma)\mu(\frac{1}{p})$. Let T^0 (resp. B^0) be the maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ whose extension to $W(k)$ is T (resp. is B). As $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Lie}(B)$) is normalized by $\sigma_0 = \phi\mu(p)$, the existence of T (resp. B) is argued in the same way we argued the existence of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in the proof of Claim 2.2.2. Let N^0 be the normalizer of T^0 in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that the torus $T^0_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})}$ is split. Let $k_0 := \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. We identify $W_G = (N^0/T^0)(W(k_0))$. For $w \in W_G$, let $n_w \in N^0(W(k_0))$ be an element that represents w. Let $\mu_0 : \mathbb{G}_m \to T^0_{W(k_0)}$ be the unique cocharacter such that we have $\mu_{0W(k)} = \mu$. Let

$$\mathcal{C}^0_{n_w} := (M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k_0), n_w(1_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \otimes \sigma_{k_0})\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}), G_{W(k_0)}).$$

Let $o_w : \mathbb{G}_m \to T^0_{W(k_0)}$ be the inverse of the product of the cocharacters of $T^0_{W(k_0)}$ that form the orbit of μ_0 under powers of $w\sigma_{k_0}$. Let $d_w \in \mathbb{N}$ be the number of elements of this orbit. The Newton quasi-cocharacter

$$\nu^0_w \in \Xi(G_{B(k_0)})$$

of $\mathcal{C}^0_{n_w}$ is the equivalence class of the pair $(o_w, \frac{1}{d_w}) \in \Lambda(G_{B(k_0)})$. Due to Fact 4.2.1, ν^0_w does not depend on the choice of n_w and this justifies our notation. Let $\nu(w)$ be the quasi-cocharacter of $T^0_{B(k_0)}$ that is $N^0(W(k_0))$-conjugate to ν^0_w and such that its action on $\mathrm{Lie}(B^0_{B(k_0)})$ is via non-negative rational powers of p. Let $L(w)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-form of the Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{C}^0_{n_w} \otimes_{k_0} k$ whose Lie algebra is $\{x \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})|n_w(1_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \otimes \sigma)(x) = x\}$.

The first step is to list all distinct $\nu(w)$'s. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be their number. Let $w_1, w_2 \in W_G$. If $\mathcal{C}_{n_{w_1}} = \mathcal{C}^0_{n_{w_1}} \otimes_{k_0} k$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n_{w_2}} = \mathcal{C}^0_{n_{w_2}} \otimes_{k_0} k$ are rational inner isomorphic, then $\nu_{n_{w_1}}$ and

$\nu_{n_{w_2}}$ are $G(B(k))$-conjugate. Thus $\nu^0_{w_1}$ and $\nu^0_{w_2}$ are $G_{B(k_0)}(B(k_0))$-conjugate and therefore we have $\nu(w_1)=\nu(w_2)$. This implies

$$\mathfrak{P}\le\mathfrak{R}.$$

Suppose that $\nu(w_1)=\nu(w_2)$. This implies that the two parabolic subgroups $P^+_{G_{W(k_0)}}(n_{w_1}\sigma_{k_0}\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}))_{B(k_0)}$ and $P^+_{G_{W(k_0)}}(n_{w_2}\sigma_{k_0}\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}))_{B(k_0)}$ of $G_{B(k_0)}$ are $G_{W(k_0)}(B(k_0))$-conjugate. As both parabolic subgroup schemes $P^+_{G_{W(k_0)}}(n_{w_1}\sigma_{k_0}\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}))$ and $P^+_{G_{W(k_0)}}(n_{w_2}\sigma_{k_0}\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}))$ of $G_{W(k_0)}$ contain $T_{W(k_0)}$, there exists $w_{12}\in N^0(W(k_0))$ such that we have an identity $w_{12}P^+_{G_{W(k_0)}}(n_{w_1}\sigma_{k_0}\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}))w_{12}^{-1}=P^+_{G_{W(k_0)}}(n_{w_2}\sigma_{k_0}\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}))$. In general, w_{12} does not centralize μ_0 and therefore in general we have $\mathfrak{P}\neq\mathfrak{R}$ (cf. also Example 4.6.4 below).

Thus the second step is to decide for which pairs $(w_1,w_2)\in W_G\times W_G$ with the property that $\nu(w_1)=\nu(w_2)$, there exists a rational inner isomorphism h_{12} between $\mathcal{C}_{n_{w_1}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n_{w_2}}$. The existence of h_{12} is a problem of deciding if the torsor of $L(w_1)$ that defines $L(w_2)$ is trivial or not (see [21, Prop. 6.3] and [35, Prop. 1.17]); as the group W_G finite, one can compute all classes that define such torsors of $L(w_1)$.

4.5.1. Remark. In this Remark we use the language of [21] and [35]. Let $B(G_{B(k)})$ be the set of σ-conjugacy classes of $G(B(k))$. Let $\mathcal{U}_+:=\bar{C}^{\mathrm{Gal}(B(k_0)/\mathbb{Q}_p)}\cap(X_*(T^0_{B(k_0)})\otimes\mathbb{Q})$, where $\bar{C}$ is the closed Weyl chamber of $X_*(T^0_{B(k_0)})\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ that corresponds to B^0. The set $\mathcal{U}_+$ has a natural partial ordering. Let $\mathcal{W}_G:W_G\to B(G_{B(k)})$ be the map that takes $w\in W_G$ to the σ-conjugacy class of $n_w\sigma_{k_0}(\mu_0)(\frac{1}{p})\in G(B(k))$. Let $\mathcal{N}_G:B(G_{B(k)})\to\mathcal{U}_+$ be the Newton map. Let $\kappa_G:B(G_{B(k)})\to\pi_1(G_{B(k)})_\sigma$ be the Kottwitz map. We identify naturally $\nu(w)$ with $\mathcal{N}_G(\mathcal{W}_G(w))\in\mathcal{U}_+$. Let $-\bar{\mu}\in\mathcal{U}_+$ be the average of the orbit of $-\mu$ (or $-\sigma(\mu)$) under $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k_0)/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. From [35, Thm. 4.2] we get that

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{W}_G)\subseteq\{x\in B(G_{B(k)})|\kappa_G(x)=\kappa_G(\sigma_{k_0}(\mu_0)(\frac{1}{p}))\}\cap\mathcal{N}_G^{-1}(\{x\in\mathcal{U}_+|x\le-\bar{\mu}\}).\tag{9}$$

One can combine Theorem 1.3.3 with [50] to show that the two sets of (9) are in fact equal. In general it is hard to compute the two sets of the intersection of (9). If $w\in W_G$, then in general the set $\mathcal{N}_G^{-1}(\nu(w))$ is not included in $\mathcal{W}_G(W_G)$ (see Example 4.6.3 below for examples). The number of elements of $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{W}_G)$ (resp. of $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{N}_G\circ\mathcal{W}_G)$) is $\mathfrak{R}$ (resp. is $\mathfrak{P}$).

4.6. Examples. Suppose that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}=\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p}J$, where J is an absolutely simple adjoint group scheme over $W(k_0)$ of B_r Lie type. Thus J is split and it is the SO group scheme of the following quadratic form $Q=x_1x_2+\cdots+x_{2r-1}x_{2r}+x_{2r+1}^2$ on $V_0:=W(k_0)^{2r+1}$. Therefore the number m (of Subsection 4.5) is the number n (of Subsubsection 4.2.2). As $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules, we can identify $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}=V_0$. We write

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}W(k_0)=V_0\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}W(k_0)=\oplus_{s=1}^nV_s,$$

where each V_s is a free $W(k_0)$-module of rank $2r+1$ normalized by $G_{W(k_0)}$. Let $l\in\mathbb{N}$ be such that the cocharacter μ_0 acts non-trivially on precisely l of the V_s's. Let B_Q be the symmetric bilinear form on V_0 defined by the rule $B_Q(x,y) := Q(x+y) - Q(x) - Q(y)$, where Q is identified naturally with a quadratic function $V_0 \to W(k_0)$ and where $x, y \in V_0$. We recall (cf. Subsection 2.1) that the scalar extensions of B_Q are also denoted by B_Q.

4.6.1. Proposition. *Under the assumptions of the above paragraph, the following two properties hold:*

(a) *If $l = 1$, then $\mathfrak{P} = r + 1$.*

(b) *If $l = 2$, then $\mathfrak{P} = r + (r - [\frac{r}{2}])([\frac{r}{2}] + 1)$.*

Proof: For $s \in S(1,n)$ we choose a $W(k_0)$-basis $\mathcal{B}_s = \{e_1^s, e_2^s, \ldots, e_{2r+1}^s\}$ for V_s such that $T^0_{W(k_0)}$ normalizes $W(k_0)e$ for all $e \in \mathcal{B}_s$. Let $\mathcal{S} := \cup_{s=1}^n \mathcal{B}_s$. We can assume that $\mathcal{S}$ is such that $\mu_0(p)$ acts:

– trivially on e_i^s, if either $i \geq 3$ or $s > l$, and

– as the multiplication by p^i on $e^s_{\frac{3-i}{2}}$, if $s \in S(1,l)$ and $i \in \{-1,1\}$.

Let π be the n-th cycle permutation of $S(1,n)$ induced by the action of σ_{k_0} on the simple factors of $G_{W(k_0)}$. We can assume that $\mathcal{S}$ is such that the σ_{k_0}-linear automorphism of $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k_0) = V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k_0)$ (which fixes $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = V_0$) takes each e_i^s to $e_i^{\pi(s)}$ and that $B_Q(e_i^s, e_j^s)$ is 0 or 1 depending on the fact that $\{(i,j),(j,i)\}$ has or has not a trivial intersection with the set $\{(1,2),(3,4),\ldots,(2r-1,2r)\}$. From [5, plate II] we get that W_G as a set is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of elements

$$h \in \mathrm{GL}(\oplus_{s=1}^n V_s)(W(k_0)) = \mathrm{GL}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(W(k_0))$$

with the property that for each $s \in S(1,n)$, they take e_{2r+1}^s to $e_{2r+1}^{\pi(s)}$ and take each pair (e_{2q-1}^s, e_{2q}^s) with $q \in S(1,r)$ to either $(e_{2q_1-1}^{\pi(s)}, e_{2q_1}^{\pi(s)})$ or $(e_{2q_1}^{\pi(s)}, e_{2q_1-1}^{\pi(s)})$, for some $q_1 \in S(1,r)$. For each such h there exists a unique $w \in W_G$ and a unique representative $n_w \in N_0(W(k_0))$ of w such that the actions of h and $n_w(1_{V_0} \otimes \sigma_{k_0})$ on $\mathcal{S}$ are the same.

Two cocharacters μ_1 and μ_2 of $G_{B(k_0)}$ are $G(B(k_0))$-conjugate if and only if for each $s \in S(1,n)$ the formal characters of the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on V_s via μ_j does not depend on $j \in \{1,2\}$. Thus, as σ_{k_0} permutes transitively the simple factors of $G_{W(k_0)}$, the number $\mathfrak{P}$ is the number of distinct Newton polygons of F-crystals of the form

$$\mathcal{D}_w := (V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B(k_0), n_w(1_{V_0} \otimes \sigma_{k_0})\mu_0(\frac{1}{p})),$$

where h and w vary as described. Due to the existence of B_Q, the Newton polygon $N(w)$ of $\mathcal{D}_w$ is 0-symmetric i.e., its multiplicity of a slope α is the same as its multiplicity of the slope $-\alpha$. Thus to describe $N(w)$'s it is enough to list (with multiplicities) their positive Newton polygon slopes. Below by orbits we mean the orbits of the action of h on $\mathcal{S}$.

Suppose that $l = 1$. If the orbit of e_1^1 contains e_2^1, then the Newton polygon $N(w)$ has only one slope 0. If the orbit of e_1^1 does not contain e_2^1 and has $c \in S(1,r)$ elements, then the Newton polygon $N(w)$ has only one positive slope $\frac{1}{nc}$ with multiplicity nc. Thus $\mathfrak{P} = r + 1$ i.e., (a) holds.

If $l = 2$, we split the computation of $\mathfrak{P}$ as follows. We count:

(i) 1 for the Newton polygon that has all slopes 0;

(ii) r for Newton polygons that have only one positive slope $\frac{2}{nc}$ with multiplicity cn, $c \in S(1, r)$; they correspond to the case when e_1^1 and e_1^2 are in the same orbit but this orbit does not contain e_2^1 (and thus does not contain e_2^2);

(iii) $r - 1$ for Newton polygons that have only one positive slope $\frac{1}{nc}$ with multiplicity cn, $c \in S(1, r-1)$; they correspond to the case when there exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that the orbit of e_1^i does not contain e_2^i, e_1^{3-i}, or e_2^{3i-1} but e_1^{3-i} and e_2^{3-i} belong to the same orbit;

(iv) 1 for each pair $(c, d) \in S(1, r) \times S(1, r)$, with $c + d \leq r$ and $c < d$.

For each pair as in (iv) we get a Newton polygon that has two positive slopes $\frac{1}{nc}$ and $\frac{1}{nd}$ with multiplicities cn and respectively dn; it corresponds to the cases when the orbit of e_1^j under h does not contain e_2^j, e_1^{3-j}, or e_2^{3-j} but has v_j elements, $j = \overline{1, 2}$, with $(v_1, v_2) \in \{(c, d), (d, c)\}$. We emphasize that the case $c = d$ gives birth to Newton polygons that were already counted by (ii). Thus the number of distinct Newton polygons is equal to $1 + r + (r - 1) + \sum_{c=1}^{[\frac{r}{2}]}(r - 2c) = r([\frac{r}{2}] + 2) - [\frac{r}{2}]([\frac{r}{2}] + 1) = r + (r - [\frac{r}{2}])([\frac{r}{2}] + 1)$. Thus (b) holds. $\square$

4.6.2. Example. We assume $l \in \{1, 2\}$. The set $\mathfrak{S}(w)$ of Newton polygon slopes of $\mathcal{D}_w$ has 1, 3, or 5 elements, cf. proof of Proposition 4.6.1. The Newton polygon slope decomposition $V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B(k_0) = \oplus_{j \in \mathfrak{S}(w)} M_j(w)$ of $\mathcal{D}_w$ is such that $B_Q(M_j(w), M_u(w))) = 0$ if $j + u \neq 0$ and we have $M_j(w) = \oplus_{s=1}^{n} V_s[\frac{1}{p}] \cap M_j(w)$; here j, $u \in \mathfrak{S}(w)$. We have $\dim_{B(k_0)}(V_s[\frac{1}{p}] \cap M_j(w)) = \dim_{B(k_0)}(V_s[\frac{1}{p}] \cap M_{-j}(w))$. Thus the number $r_0(w) := \dim_{B(k_0)}(V_s[\frac{1}{p}] \cap M_0(w))$ is odd. Let $B_{Q_s}(w)$ be the symmetric bilinear form on $V_s[\frac{1}{p}] \cap M_0(w)$ induced by B_Q. Let $\mathfrak{I}_s(w)$ be the isomorphism class of the triple $(V_s[\frac{1}{p}] \cap M_0(w), (n_w(1_{V_0} \otimes \sigma_{k_0})\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}))^n, B_{Q_s}(w)) \otimes_{k_0} k$. Maps defined by the rule $e_i^s \to e_i^{\pi(s)}$ allow us to identify $\mathfrak{I}_s(w)$ with $\mathfrak{I}_{\pi(s)}(w)$. Thus $\mathfrak{I}_s(w)$ does not depend on $s \in S(1, n)$ and therefore we denote it by $\mathfrak{I}(w)$. If $l = 2$, then $\mathfrak{I}(w)$ is not determined in general by $r_0(w)$.

To check that $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{R}$, it suffices to show that the isomorphism class of the σ^n-F-isocrystal with a bilinear form $(M_j(w) + M_{-j}(w), (n_w(1_{V_0} \otimes \sigma_{k_0})\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}))^n, B_{Q_{\pm j}}) \otimes_{k_0} k$ is uniquely determined by $j \in \mathfrak{S}(w)$ and by $\dim_{B(k_0)}(M_j(w))$, where $B_{Q_{\pm j}}$ is the restriction of B_Q to $M_j(w) + M_{-j}(w)$. If $j \in \mathfrak{S}(w) \cap (0, \infty)$, then this holds due to Dieudonné's classification of σ^n-F-isocrystals over k and the fact that $B_{Q_{\pm j}}(M_i(w), M_{-j}(w)) = 0$. Thus the equality $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{R}$ holds if and only if always the Newton polygon $N(w)$ determines $\mathfrak{I}(w)$. To check that $N(w)$ determines $\mathfrak{I}(w)$, we can assume $r_0(w) \leq 2r - 1$ (cf. Proposition 2.7). The case $l = 1$ is a consequence of the fact that each absolutely simple adjoint group scheme of $B_{\frac{r_0(w)-1}{2}}$ Dynkin type over $W(k_0)$ is split. Next we consider the case when $l = 2$. The fact that $\mathfrak{I}(w)$ is determined by $N(w)$ follows easily from the descriptions of items 4.6.1 (ii) to (iv). The only "ambiguity" of the type of h giving birth to Newton polygons as in items 4.6.1 (ii) to (iv) is between item 4.6.1 (ii) and the variant of item 4.6.1 (iv) with $c = d$ (as $\mathfrak{I}_s(w)$ does not depend on s, it is irrelevant if in item 4.6.1 (iii) we have $i = 1$ or $i = 2$). But if h is as in item 4.6.1 (ii) or as in the mentioned variant, then for $i, s \in \{1, 2\}$ we have $e_i^s \notin M_0(w)$ and thus the fact that $N(w)$ determines $\mathfrak{I}(w)$ is argued as for $l = 1$.

4.6.3. Example. We refer to Proposition 4.6.1 and Example 4.6.2 with $n = l = 1$. The reductive group $L(w)$ of Subsection 4.5 is a product $L^0(w) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L^+(w)$, where $L^0(w)$ is an absolutely simple group of B_u Dynkin type with $u \in S(0, r)$ and where $L^+(w)$ is trivial if $u = r$ and is the group of invertible elements of a semisimple $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra if $u < r$. If $u < r$, then the group $L^+(w)$ corresponds to the unique Newton polygon slope in $\mathfrak{S}(w) \cap (0, \infty)$. If $u > 0$, then we have $\mathrm{Lie}(L^0(w)) = \mathrm{Lie}(L(w)) \cap \mathrm{End}(M_0(w) \otimes_{B(k_0)} B(k))$. We have $u = 0$ if and only if $N(w)$ has slope $\frac{1}{r}$. The set $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, L(w)) = H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, L^+(w)) \times H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, L^0(w))$ has 1 or 2 classes depending on the fact that u is or is not 0. Thus from [35, Props. 1.15 and 1.17] we get that if $N(w)$ has (resp. does not have) slope $\frac{1}{r}$, then $\mathcal{N}_G^{-1}(\nu(w))$ has 1 element (resp. 2 elements). Thus the set $\cup_{w \in W_G} \mathcal{N}_G^{-1}(\nu(w))$ has $2r + 1$ elements, while $\mathcal{W}_G(W_G)$ has $\mathfrak{P} = r + 1$ elements.

4.6.4. Example. Suppose that $m = 2n$ and $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \mathrm{Res}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})/\mathbb{Z}_p} J$, where J is the SO group scheme of the quadratic form $x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_5x_6 + \cdots + x_{2r-1}^2 - yx_{2r}^2$ on $V_0 := W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})^{2r}$ for some $y \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ that mod p is not a square. By repeating the constructions of Proposition 4.6.1 and Example 4.6.2 with the appropriate restrictions on h (cf. the structure of the Weyl group in [5, plate IV]), the identity component of the group of automorphisms of $(V_s[\frac{1}{p}] \cap M_0(w), (n_w(1_{V_0} \otimes \sigma_{k_0})\mu_0(\frac{1}{p}))^n, B_{Q_s}(w)) \otimes_{k_0} k$ is not determined by the Newton polygon $N(w)$.

For instance, if $n = 1$, $r \geq 3$, and the orbit of e_1^1 under h does (resp. does not) contain e_{2r-1}^1 and also does not contain e_{2r}^1, then this group is split (resp. is non-split); thus we have $\mathfrak{R} > \mathfrak{P}$. As $n = 1$, we have $m = 2$, $e_{2r-1}^1, e_{2r}^1 \in V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$, and $h \in \mathrm{GL}(V_0)(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})) = \mathrm{GL}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}))$.

4.7. Quasi-polarizations. Suppose that there exists a perfect bilinear form p_M on M normalized by G and such that we have $p_M(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = p^{a+b}\sigma(p_M(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in M$ (see Subsubsection 1.2.1 for a and b). We refer to p_M as a principal bilinear quasi-polarization of $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$. Let G^0 be the Zariski closure in G of the identity component of the subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$ that fixes p_M. Thus the quotient group scheme G/G^0 is either $\mathbb{G}_m$ or trivial. The group scheme G^0 is the extension of G^{der} by a subtorus of G^{ab} and thus it is a reductive group scheme over $W(k)$. We situate ourselves in the context of Theorem 1.3.3. Thus $b_L \leq 1$, $k = \bar{k}$, and ϕ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$. Let $g \in G^0(W(k))$. We check that in Theorem 1.3.3 we can choose w and h to fix p_M. For this, we can assume $G^0 \neq G$.

In Subsubsection 3.1.1 we can always choose g_3, g_2, and $g_1 \in G^0(W(k))$ and in the proof of Theorem 3.1.2 we have $h_i \in G^0(B(k))$ for all $i \in S(1, q)$. Thus to check that we can choose w and h to fix p_M, it suffices to consider the case when $\mathcal{C}_g$ is basic. In Subsubsection 4.2.2, we can always choose $w \in (G^{\mathrm{der}} \cap N)(W(k))$. Thus we only have to show that if in Proposition 2.7 we have g_1, $g_2 \in G^0(W(k))$, then there exists $h \in G^0(B(k))$ such that we have $hg_1\phi = g_2\phi h$. If G^1 is as in Subsubsection 2.6.5, then $G^{10} := G^1 \times_G G^0$ is a reductive group scheme and we have $g \in \mathrm{Im}(G^{10}(W(k)) \to G^0(W(k)))$. Thus as in the proof of Proposition 2.7 we can assume that G^{der} is simply connected. Let $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^0$ be the closed subgroup scheme of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ whose extension to $W(k)$ is G^0. Applying Fact 2.6.2 in the context of the torus G^0/G^{der}, we can assume that g_1, $g_2 \in G^{\mathrm{der}}(W(k))$. The image of h in $G^{\mathrm{ab}}(B(k))$ belongs to $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, where $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is as in the proof of Proposition 2.7. But as $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{der}})$ has only the trivial class (see [20]]), $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ surjects onto

$G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Thus by replacing h with a right $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-multiple of it we can assume that $h \in G^{\mathrm{der}}(B(k)) \leqslant G^0(B(k))$.

5. Three geometric applications

In this Section we apply Theorem 1.3.3 (b) to geometric contexts which pertain to Shimura varieties of Hodge type. In Subsection 5.1 we introduce standard Hodge situations. They are concrete ways of getting good moduli spaces of principally polarized abelian varieties endowed with (specializations of) Hodge cycles in mixed characteristic $(0,p)$. In Subsubsections 5.1.4 to 5.1.8 we list properties of standard Hodge situations to be used in Subsections 5.2 to 5.4. In Subsection 5.2 we formulate Manin problems for standard Hodge situations. The Main Theorem solves these problems under two mild assumptions (checked for $p \geq 3$ in [45]). In Subsection 5.3 we introduce rational stratifications of special fibres of the mentioned moduli spaces. In Subsection 5.4 we formulate integral Manin problems. Theorem 5.4.2 solves them for some standard PEL situations.

We use the terminology of [9] for Hodge cycles on an abelian scheme A_Z over a reduced $\mathbb{Q}$–scheme Z. Thus each Hodge cycle v of A_Z has a de Rham component v_{dR} and an étale component $v_{ét}$. The étale component $v_{ét}$ as its turn has an l-component $v^l_{ét}$, for each rational prime l. For instance, if Z is the spectrum of a field E, then $v^p_{ét}$ is a suitable $\mathrm{Gal}(E)$-invariant tensor of the tensor algebra of $H^1_{ét}(A_{\bar{Z}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \oplus (H^1_{ét}(A_{\bar{Z}}, \mathbb{Q}_p))^* \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p(1)$, where $\bar{Z} := \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{E})$ and where $\mathbb{Q}_p(1)$ is the usual Tate twist. If E is a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ we also use the Betti realization of v: it corresponds to v_{dR} (resp. $v^l_{ét}$) via the standard isomorphism that relates the Betti cohomology with $\mathbb{Q}$–coefficients of $A_Z \times_Z \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ with the de Rham (resp. $\mathbb{Q}_l$ étale) cohomology of $A_{\bar{Z}}$ (see [9]).

Let $\mathbb{S} := \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m$. A Shimura pair $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$ consists of a reductive group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class X of homomorphisms $\mathbb{S} \to G_{\mathbb{R}}$ which satisfy Deligne's axioms of [8, Subsection 2.1]: the Hodge $\mathbb{Q}$–structure on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$ defined by $x \in X$ is of type $\{(-1,1),(0,0),(1,-1)\}$, the composite of $x(i)$ with the adjoint representation $AD : G_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}))$ is a Cartan involution of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}})$, and no factor of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is compact over $\mathbb{R}$. Thus X has a canonical structure of a hermitian symmetric domain, cf. [8, Cor. 1.1.17]. For generalities on Shimura pairs, on their reflex fields, types, and canonical models, and on injective maps between them see [7], [8], [29, Section 1], [30], and [43, Subsections 2.1 to 2.10]. Let $k(\ddagger)$ be the residue field of a finite prime $\ddagger$ of a number field.

5.1. Standard Hodge situations. Let $(\mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi), S)$ be a Shimura pair that defines a Siegel modular variety. Thus (W,ψ) is a symplectic space over $\mathbb{Q}$ and S is the set of all homomorphisms $\mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi)_{\mathbb{R}}$ that define Hodge $\mathbb{Q}$–structures on W of type $\{(-1,0),(0,-1)\}$ and that have either $2\pi i\psi$ or $-2\pi i\psi$ as polarizations. Let $r := \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W)}{2}$. Let $\mathbb{A}_f$ (resp. $\mathbb{A}^{(p)}_f$) be the $\mathbb{Q}$–algebra of finite adèles (resp. of finite adèles with the p-component omitted). We have $\mathbb{A}_f = \mathbb{A}^{(p)}_f \times \mathbb{Q}_p$. We start with an injective map

$$f : (G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi), S)$$

of Shimura pairs. Thus $f : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi)$ is a monomorphism of reductive groups over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that we have $f_{\mathbb{R}} \circ x \in S$ for all $x \in X$.

We have $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^*$. We identify $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ in such a way that the monomorphism $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C})$ induces the map $z \to (z, \bar{z})$. Let $x \in X$. Let $\mu_x : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the cocharacter given on complex points by the rule $z \to x_{\mathbb{C}}(z, 1)$. The reflex field $E(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$ of $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$ is the subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ that is the field of definition of the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C})$-conjugacy class $[\mu_x]$ of (any) μ_x (see [8] and [29]). It is a number field. Let v be a prime of $E(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$ that divides p. Let $O_{(v)}$ be the localization of the ring of integers of $E(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$ with respect to v. Let L be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of W such that ψ induces a perfect form $\psi : L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \to \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ be the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ with respect to p. Let $L_{(p)} := L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Until the end we assume:

(*) that the Zariski closure $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $\mathrm{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)$ is a reductive group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

Thus v is unramified over p, cf. [30, Cor. 4.7 (a)]. Let $K_p := \mathrm{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. It is a hyperspecial subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p, \psi)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the intersection $H := G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_p$ is a hyperspecial subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We call the triple

$$(f, L, v)$$

a *potential standard Hodge situation.*

Let $C(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the set of compact, open subgroups of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ with the inclusion relation. Let $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$ be the canonical model over $E(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$ of the complex Shimura variety (see [7, Thm. 4.21 and Cor. 5.7]; see [8, Cor. 2.1.11] for the below identity):

$$\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathrm{proj.lim.}_{\tilde{H} \in C(G_{\mathbb{Q}})} G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X \times G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f)/\tilde{H} = G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X \times G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f). \tag{10}$$

From (10) and [30, Prop. 4.11] we get that $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)_{\mathbb{C}}/H = G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \backslash X \times G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$. From this identity and its analogue for $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)_{\mathbb{C}}/K_p$, we get that $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)/H$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)_{E(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)}/K_p$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-scheme which parametrizes isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian schemes over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-schemes that are of relative dimension r and that have in a compatible way level s symplectic similitude structures for all numbers $s \in \mathbb{N}$ that are prime to p; the group $\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{M}$. These symplectic structures and this action are defined naturally via (L, ψ) (for instance, see [43, Subsection 4.1]). We have a natural identification $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)/K_p$compatible with the $\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$-actions, cf. [7, Example 4.16]. Let

$$\mathcal{N}$$

be the normalization of the Zariski closure of $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)/H$ in $\mathcal{M}_{O_{(v)}}$. The natural actions of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)/K_p$ and on $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)/H$ give birth to a natural action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$ on $\mathcal{N}$. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the pull back to $\mathcal{N}$ of the universal principally polarized abelian scheme over $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(L^*_{(p)})$ such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ that fixes v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, cf. [9, Prop. 3.1 c)]. The choices of L and $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ allow a moduli interpretation of $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)(\mathbb{C})$ (see [7], [8], [30,] and [43]). For instance, $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)(\mathbb{C}) = G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X \times G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ that are of dimension r, that carry a family of Hodge cycles indexed by $\mathcal{J}$, that have level s symplectic similitude structures for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and that satisfy some additional conditions (for instance, see [43, Subsection 4.1]). Thus the abelian scheme $\mathcal{A}_{E(G_{\mathbb{Q}},X)}$ is naturally endowed with a family $(w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ of Hodge cycles (the Betti realizations of pulls back of $w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}}$ via $\mathbb{C}$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{E(G_{\mathbb{Q}},X)}$ correspond to v_α).

Let $G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ be the maximal reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ that fixes ψ. The maximal compact subtorus of $\mathbb{S}$ is connected and, when viewed as a subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ via the image of an element $x \in X$, it has $-1_{W\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{R}}$ as a $\mathbb{R}$-valued point. Thus $Z(\mathrm{Sp}(L_{(p)},\psi)) \leqslant G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ and therefore we have an identity

$$G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} = \mathrm{Sp}(L_{(p)},\psi) \cap G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}. \tag{11}$$

5.1.1. Standard Shimura F-crystals. Let $L_p := L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$. The isomorphism $L_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^*_{(p)}$ induced by ψ allows us to naturally identify $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ with a closed subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{GL}(L^*_{(p)})$. Let T^0 be a maximal torus of a Borel subgroup scheme B^0 of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Let $\mu_0 : \mathbb{G}_m \to T^0_{W(k(v))}$ be a cocharacter such that the following two axioms hold:

(a) over an embedding $e_{k(v)} : W(k(v)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which extends the composite inclusion $O_{(v)} \subseteq E(G_{\mathbb{Q}},X) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, it is $G_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to the cocharacters $\mu_x : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{\mathbb{C}}$, $x \in X$;

(b) if $L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v)) = F^1_0 \oplus F^0_0$ is the direct sum decomposition normalized by μ_0 and such that μ_0 acts trivially on F^0_0, then $B_{W(k(v))}$ normalizes F^1_0.

The existence of μ_0 is implied by [30, Prop. 4.6 and Cor. 4.7]. Let $M_0 := L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))$, let $\phi_0 := (1_{L^*_p} \otimes \sigma_{k(v)}) \circ \mu_0(\frac{1}{p})$, and let

$$\mathcal{C}_0 := (M_0, \phi_0, G_{W(k(v))}).$$

We first introduced $\mathcal{C}_0$ in [42]. Up to isomorphisms of M_0 defined by elements of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathcal{C}_0$ does not depend on the choice of either T^0 or B^0.

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. Let $G := G_{W(k)}$. For $g \in G(W(k))$ let $\mathcal{C}_g := (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), \phi_0 \otimes \sigma, G, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. We refer to the family $(\mathcal{C}_g)_{g\in G(W(k))}$ as the standard Shimura F-crystals with tensors over k of (f, L, v).

5.1.2. Definition. The potential standard Hodge situation (f, L, v) is called a *standard Hodge situation* if the following two axioms hold:

(a) the scheme $\mathcal{N}$ is formally smooth over $O_{(v)}$;

(b) for each field k as above and for each point $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$, the quadruple $(M, F^1, \phi, \tilde{G})$ is a Shimura filtered F-crystal; here (M, ϕ) is the Dieudonné module of the special fibre of the p-divisible group of $A := z^*(\mathcal{A})$, F^1 is the Hodge filtration of $M = H^1_{dR}(A/W(k))$ defined by A, and $\tilde{G}$ is the Zariski closure in $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ of the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ that fixes the de Rham component $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathcal{T}(H^1_{dR}(A_{B(k)}/B(k)))$ of $z^*(w^{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$.

Let p_M be the perfect bilinear form on M that is the de Rham (crystalline) realization of $z^*(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$. We have $p_M(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = p\sigma(p_M(x, y))$ for all x, $y \in M$.

5.1.3. Remark. If the axiom 5.1.2 (a) holds, then $\mathcal{N}$ is an integral canonical model of $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X, H, v)$ in the sense of [43, Subsubsections 3.2.3 to 3.2.6] (cf. [43, Cor. 3.4.4]). If $p \geq 5$, then the axiom 5.1.2 (a) holds, cf. [43, Subsubsections 3.2.12, 3.4.1, and 6.4.2]. See [43, Thm. 5.1 and Subsections 6.5 and 6.6] for general ways of constructing standard Hodge situations. For instance, if $p \geq \max\{5, d\}$ and (f, L, v) is a potential standard Hodge situation, then (f, L, v) is a standard Hodge situation (cf. [43, Cor. 5.8.6]).

5.1.4. Fontaine comparison theory. If $p \geq 3$ (resp. if $p = 2$) let $B^+(W(k))$ be the Fontaine ring used in [13, Section 4] (resp. in [13, Section 8] and obtained using the 2-adic completion). We recall that $B^+(W(k))$ is an integral, local $W(k)$-algebra endowed with a separated and decreasing filtration $\big(F^i(B^+(W(k)))\big)_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$, with a Frobenius lift, and with a $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$-action (see also [15, Section 2]).

We emphasize that until the end we will assume (f, L, v) is a standard Hodge situation and we will use the notations of the axiom 5.1.2 (b). Let $H^1 := H^1_{ét}(A_{\overline{B(k)}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. There exists a standard but non-canonical identification $H^1 = L_p^*$ under which: (i) the p-component of the étale component of $z^*(w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})$ is v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and (ii) the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-étale realization p_{H^1} of $z^*(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$-multiple γ_p of the perfect form ψ^* on L_p^* defined by ψ via duality (see [43, top of p. 473]). As the complex $0 \to G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to 0$ is exact, we can assume $\gamma_p = 1$. From Fontaine comparison theory we get the existence of a $B^+(W(k))$-monomorphism

$$i_A : M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)) \tag{12}$$

that respects the tensor product Frobenius endomorphisms (the Frobenius endomorphism of H^1 being 1_{H^1}). The existence of i_A is a particular case of [13, Thm. 7] (for $p = 2$ cf. also end of [13, Section 8]). For de Rham rings of periods and for the de Rham conjecture we refer to [12], [13], [15], and [2]. For de Rham cycles we refer to [2, Subsection (1.3)].

5.1.5. Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and perfect residue field. Let $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{V}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F})$. Let $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{Z}^*(\mathcal{A})$. Then each Hodge cycle w_0 that involves no étale Tate twist on $\mathcal{E}$, is a de Rham cycle. In other words, under the isomorphism of the de Rham conjecture, the de Rham component of w_0 is mapped to the p-component of the étale component of w_0.*

If $\mathcal{E}$ is definable over a number subfield of $\mathcal{F}$, this was known since long time (for instance, see [2, Thm. (0.3)]). The general case follows from loc. cit. and [43, Principle B of 5.2.16] (in the part of [43, Subsection 5.2] preceding the Principle B an odd prime is used; however the proof of loc. cit. applies to all primes).

5.1.6. Corollary. *We have $\phi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$.*

Proof: The cycle $w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}}$ involves no Tate twist. Thus the tensorization of (12) with the de Rham ring $B_{dR}(W(k))$ that contains $B^+(W(k))$, is an isomorphism that takes t_α to v_α (cf. Theorem 5.1.5). If $K^+(W(k))$ is the field of fractions of $B^+(W(k))$, then the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}]) \otimes_{B(k)} K^+(W(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(H^1[\frac{1}{p}]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K^+(W(k))$ induced naturally by i_A, takes t_α to v_α and is compatible with Frobenius lifts. Thus each t_α is fixed by ϕ. $\square$

By multiplying each v_α with a power of p we can assume that we have $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M)$ for all k and z as in the axiom 5.1.2 (b). Let H_0 be a compact, open subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$ that acts freely on $\mathcal{M}$ (cf. Serre's Lemma). The group H_0 also acts freely on $\mathcal{N}$. Thus $\mathcal{N}$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$, cf. proof of [43, Prop. 3.4.1]. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(Y_0)$ be an affine, open subscheme of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ such that the point $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ defined by the special fibre of z factors through the affine, open subscheme $\mathrm{Spec}(Y) := \mathrm{Spec}(Y_0) \times_{\mathcal{N}/H_0} \mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{N}$. Let Φ_Y be a Frobenius lift of the p-adic completion $Y^\wedge$ of Y. Let $\mathcal{A}_Y$ and w_α^Y be the pulls back of $\mathcal{A}$ and $w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}}$ (respectively) to $\mathrm{Spec}(Y)$. The de Rham component t_α^Y of w_α^Y is annihilated by the Gauss–Manin connection on $H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{A}_Y/Y)$ (see [9, Prop. 2.5]). It is also fixed by Φ_Y, cf. Corollary 5.1.6 applied to dominant Teichmüller lifts of $\mathrm{Spec}(Y^\wedge)$. Thus t_α^Y is a crystalline tensor of $\mathcal{T}(H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathcal{A}_{Y/pY}/Y^\wedge)) = \mathcal{T}(H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{A}_Y/Y) \otimes_Y Y^\wedge)$. Therefore $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M)$ depends on y but not on z. This justifies the following terminology and notations.

5.1.7. Notations. Let $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ be defined by the special fibre of $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$. We refer to $\mathcal{C}_y := (M, \phi, \tilde{G}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ (resp. to $(M, \phi, \tilde{G})$) as the Shimura F-crystal with tensors (resp. as the Shimura F-crystal) attached to y. Let $(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ structure of $(M, \tilde{G}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ defined by $\phi\mu(p)$ (cf. Subsection 2.6), where μ is a Hodge cocharacter of $(M, \phi, \tilde{G})$.

5.1.8. Lemma. *We fix a Hodge cocharacter μ of $(M, \phi, \tilde{G})$. There exists a $B(k)$-isomorphism*

$$\rho : M[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k) = L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B(k) = H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B(k)$$

that takes t_α to v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ and such that $\rho\mu_{B(k)}\rho^{-1} = \mu_{0B(k)}$. In particular, we have $\rho(F^1[\frac{1}{p}]) = F_0^1 \otimes_{W(k(v)} B(k)$ and there exists $g_y \in G(B(k))$ such that $\rho\phi\rho^{-1} = g_y(\phi_0 \otimes \sigma_k)$.

Proof: As $k = \bar{k}$, the set $H^1(B(k), G_{B(k)})$ has only one class. As $i_A \otimes_{B^+(W(k))} 1_{B_{dR}(W(k))}$ is an isomorphism that takes t_α to v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, we get that there exists a $B(k)$-isomorphism $\rho : M[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k)$ that takes t_α to v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. We check that we can choose ρ such that the cocharacters $\rho\mu_{B(k)}\rho^{-1}$ and $\mu_{0B(k)}$ of $G_{B(k)}$ coincide. To check this we can assume that there exists an embedding $e_k : W(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that extends the embedding $e_{k(v)} : W(k(v)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the axiom 5.1.1 (a). Thus $\rho\mu_{B(k)}\rho^{-1}$ and $\mu_{0B(k)}$ are $G(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate, cf. axiom 5.1.1 (a). As $G_{B(k)}$ is split, we get that the cocharacters $\rho\mu_{B(k)}\rho^{-1}$ and $\mu_{0B(k)}$ are $G(B(k))$-conjugate. Thus by composing ρ with an automorphism of $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k)$ which is an element of $G(B(k))$, we can assume that we have $\rho\mu_{B(k)}\rho^{-1} = \mu_{0B(k)}$. The last part of Lemma is obvious. □

5.1.9. Definition. Let y, $y_1 \in \mathcal{N}(k)$. By an isomorphism (resp. a rational isomorphism) between $\mathcal{C}_y = (M, \phi, \tilde{G}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ and $\mathcal{C}_{y_1} = (M_1, \phi_1, \tilde{G}_1, (t_{1\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ we mean an isomorphism $h : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1$ (resp. $h : M[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1[\frac{1}{p}]$) that satisfies $h\phi = \phi_1 h$ and that takes t_α to $t_{1\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Similarly we define (rational) isomorphisms between $\mathcal{C}_y$ and $\mathcal{C}_g$ or between two Shimura F-crystals with tensors indexed by the same set $\mathcal{J}$.

5.1.10. Remark. The notion of rational isomorphisms between $\mathcal{C}_y$'s and $\mathcal{C}_g$'s does not depend on the choice of the family of tensors $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ in Subsection 5.1. Also, as the right translations of $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ by elements of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$ correspond at the level of abelian varieties to passages to isogenies of A_k prime to p (see [43, Subsection 4.1] for the case of complex points of $\mathcal{N}$), the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{C}_y$ depends only on the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$-orbit of y. But we emphasize that under such isogenies the cycle p_M might be replaced by a $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-multiple of it.

5.2. Manin problem for (f, L, v) **and** k**.** Show that the following two things hold:

(a) For each point $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$, there exists $g \in G(W(k))$ such that $\mathcal{C}_g$ is rational isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_y$.

(b) For each element $g \in G(W(k))$, there exists a point $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ such that $\mathcal{C}_y$ is rational isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_g$.

5.2.1. Assumptions. Below we often assume that of the following two conditions hold:

(i) the *GAL* property holds for (f, L, v) i.e., for each $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$ there exists a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear isomorphism $\rho_1 : M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} L_p^*[\frac{1}{p}] = H^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ that takes t_α to v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$;

(ii) the *GAL* property of (i) holds for each standard Hodge situation (f', L, v_1), where f' is the composite of an injective map $(T'_{\mathbb{Q}}, \{h'\}) \hookrightarrow (G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$ with f, for $T'_{\mathbb{Q}}$ a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and where v_1 is an arbitrary prime of $E(T'_{\mathbb{Q}}, \{h'\})$ that divides v.

5.2.2. Remarks. (a) Condition 5.2.1 (i) holds if the set $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ has only one class, cf. Lemma 5.1.8. For instance, this is so if $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{der}}$ is simply connected and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is split.

(b) The results [45, Main Thm. 1.2 and Lemma 2.5.2 (a)] imply that condition 5.2.1 (i) holds for $p \geq 3$ and that condition 5.2.1 (ii) always holds.

5.2.3. Main Theorem. *Let (f, L, v) be a standard Hodge situation. We have:*

(a) *If the condition 5.2.1 (i) holds, then the property 5.2 (a) holds.*

(b) *We assume either (i) that the condition 5.2.1 (ii) holds or (ii) that the condition 5.2.1 (i) holds, that $Z(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is connected, and that the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ surjects onto $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then the property 5.2 (b) holds.*

Proof: We prove (a). Let $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$. Let $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$ be a point that lifts y. We use the notations of the axiom 5.1.2 (b) and of Notations 5.1.7. Two maximal tori of Borel subgroups of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-conjugate (see [3, Ch. V, Thms. 19.2 and 20.9 (i)]). Thus we can choose $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear isomorphism ρ_1 of the condition 5.2.1 (i) such that the maximal subtorus $\rho_1^{-1} T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^0 \rho_1$ of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ extends to a maximal torus $\tilde{T}^0 := \rho_1^{-1} T^0 \rho_1$ of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Let N^0 be the normalizer of T^0 in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Thus $\tilde{N}^0 := \rho_1^{-1} N^0 \rho_1$ is the normalizer of $\tilde{T}^0$ in $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. From Theorem 1.3.3 (b) and Subsection 4.5 we get the existence of an element $\tilde{w} \in \tilde{N}^0(W(k))$ and of a $\tilde{G}(W(k))$-conjugate $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{T}^0_{W(k)}$ of μ, such that $\mathcal{C}_y$ is rational isomorphic to $(M, \tilde{w}(1_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \otimes \sigma)\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}), \tilde{G}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. Under $\rho_{1B(k)}$, this last Shimura F-crystal with tensors is rational isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{1y} := (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), w(1_{L_p^*} \otimes \sigma)\tilde{\mu}_0(\frac{1}{p}), G, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$, where $w := \rho_{1B(k)} \tilde{w} \rho_{1B(k)}^{-1} \in N^0(W(k))$ and $\tilde{\mu}_0 := \rho_{1B(k)} \tilde{\mu} \rho_{1B(k)}^{-1} : \mathbb{G}_m \to T^0_{W(k)}$. As $\rho \rho_{1B(k)}^{-1} \in G(B(k))$, from Lemma 5.1.8 we get that the generic fibres of $\tilde{\mu}_0$ and $\mu_{0W(k)}$ are $G(B(k))$-conjugate. Thus, as G is split, the cocharacters $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\mu_{0W(k)}$ are also conjugate under an element $h \in G(W(k))$. But $hw\sigma(h^{-1}) \in G(W(k))$ and thus $\mathcal{C}_{1y}$ is rational isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{hw\sigma(h^{-1})}$ under an isomorphism defined by h. Thus $\mathcal{C}_y$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{hw\sigma(h^{-1})}$ i.e., the property 5.2 (a) holds. This proves (a).

We prove (b). Let $\mathbb{F} := \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. To show that the property 5.2 (b) holds we can assume that $g \in N^0(W(\mathbb{F}))$, cf. Theorem 1.3.3 (b). Let $w := g \in G(W(k))$. Let T^w be a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ such that the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ structure of the following quadruple $(M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}), T^0_{W(\mathbb{F})}, G_{W(\mathbb{F})}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ defined by the σ-linear automorphism $w(1_{L_p^*} \otimes \sigma)$ of $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}) = L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F})$ (see Subsection 2.6), is isomorphic to the quadruple $(L_p^*, T^w, G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ under an isomorphism defined by an element $h_w \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W(k))$. Thus $\mu^w_{0W(\mathbb{F})} := h_w \mu_{0W(\mathbb{F})} h_w^{-1}$ is a cocharacter of $T^w_{W(\mathbb{F})}$. Let T_w be a maximal $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ such that the following two things hold (cf. [17, Lemma 5.5.3]):

(i) over $\mathbb{R}$ it is the extension of a compact torus by $Z(\mathrm{GL}(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}))$;

(ii) there exists $g_w \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that $g_w T^w g_w^{-1} = T_{w\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

Let $\mu_w : \mathbb{G}_m \to T_{w\mathbb{C}}$ be the cocharacter obtained from the cocharacter $g_w \mu^w_{0W(\mathbb{F})} g_w^{-1}$ of $g_w T^w_{W(\mathbb{F})} g_w^{-1} = T_{wW(\mathbb{F})}$ by extension of scalars under a fixed embedding $e_{\mathbb{F}} : W(\mathbb{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that extends the embedding $e_{k(v)} : W(k(v)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the axiom 5.1.1 (a). From the axiom 5.1.1 (a) we get:

(iii) μ_w as a cocharacter of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to μ_x, where $x \in X$.

Let $S_{w\mathbb{C}}$ be the subtorus of $T_{w\mathbb{C}}$ generated by $Z(\mathrm{GL}(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}))$ and by the image of μ_w. As $T_{w\mathbb{R}}/Z(\mathrm{GL}(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}))$ is compact, each torus of the extension of $T_{w\mathbb{R}}/Z(\mathrm{GL}(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}))$ to $\mathbb{C}$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Thus $S_{w\mathbb{C}}$ is the extension to $\mathbb{C}$ of a subtorus $S_{w\mathbb{R}}$ of $T_{w\mathbb{R}}$. From (iii) we get that $Z(\mathrm{GL}(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}))$ and the image of μ_w have the finite, étale group μ_2 as their intersection. Thus we can identify naturally $S_{w\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{S}$. Let

$$x_w : \mathbb{S} \hookrightarrow T_{w\mathbb{R}}$$

be the resulting monomorphism. Let X_w be the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of x_w. The Hodge $\mathbb{Q}$–structure on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$ defined by x_w is of type $\{(-1,1),(0,0),(1,-1)\}$, cf. (iii). Thus $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X_w)$ is a Shimura pair if and only if $AD\circ x_w(i)$ defines a Cartan involution of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}})$.

In general $AD \circ x_w(i)$ is not a Cartan involution of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Here is an example. If $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an $\mathrm{SO}(2, 2n+1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ group with $n \geq 2$, then it has two $\mathrm{SO}(2)_{\mathbb{R}}$ subgroups F_1 and F_2 which over $\mathbb{C}$ are $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate and whose centralizers in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(2)_{\mathbb{R}} \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{SO}(2n+1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathrm{SO}(2)_{\mathbb{R}} \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{SO}(2, 2n-1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ (respectively); if the image of x_w in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is F_2, then $AD \circ x_w(i)$ does not define a Cartan involution of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Twisting process. We use a *twisting process* to show that we can choose T_w such that $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X_w)$ is a Shimura pair. Let T^{00}_w be the image of T_w in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$. The functorial map $H^1(\mathbb{R}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{R}}) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}, G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is surjective (cf. [22, Lemma 10.1]) and we have an exact complex

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}}) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{R}}) \times \prod_{l \text{ a prime}} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_l, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}_l}) \to X_*(T^{00}_{w\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})_{\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}),\mathrm{tors}}$$

of abelian groups (cf. [29, Thm. B.24]). If the prime l is different and such that the torus $T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}_l}$ is split, then the group $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_l, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}_l})$ surjects onto $X_*(T^{00}_{w\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})_{\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}),\mathrm{tors}}$ (cf. [29, Prop. B.22]). Thus we have a natural epimorphism

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}}) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{R}}) \times H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}_p}). \tag{13}$$

We recall that $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an inner form of its compact form, cf. [8, Subsubsection 2.3.4]. From this and (13) we get that there exists a class $\gamma_c \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}})$ whose image in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is trivial and such that the inner twist $G^c_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ via γ_c is compact over $\mathbb{R}$. The group $T_{w\mathbb{Q}}$ is naturally a maximal torus of $G^c_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and we have an identification $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = G^c_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ that extends the identity automorphism of $T_{w\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Let $\mathfrak{N}$ be the set of non-compact, simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. For $j \in \mathfrak{N}$ let $I^{00j}_{w\mathbb{R}}$ be the image of $S_{w\mathbb{R}}$ in the non-compact, simple factor j of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $I^{00}_{w\mathbb{R}} := \prod_{j\in\mathfrak{N}} I^{00j}_{w\mathbb{R}}$; it is a compact subtorus of $T^{00}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and thus also of $G^c_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\gamma^0_{s\mathbb{R}} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, I^{00}_{w\mathbb{R}}) = \prod_{j\in\mathfrak{N}} H^1(\mathbb{R}, I^{00j}_{w\mathbb{R}})$ be the unique class such that all its components are non-trivial classes. The inner twist of $G^{c\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ via $\gamma^0_{s\mathbb{R}}$ is isomorphic to $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$, cf. [8, Subsubsection 1.2.3]. Thus the inner twist of $G^c_{\mathbb{R}}$ via $\gamma^0_{s\mathbb{R}}$ is isomorphic to $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\gamma_s \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}})$ be a class such that its image in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is trivial and its image in $H^1(\mathbb{R}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{R}})$ is the image of $\gamma^0_{s\mathbb{R}}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{R}})$, cf. (13). Let $G'_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the inner twist of $G^c_{\mathbb{Q}}$ via γ_s. We have natural isomorphisms $j_{\mathbb{R}} : G'_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and moreover $T_{w\mathbb{Q}}$ is naturally a maximal torus of $G'_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus $T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}}$ is naturally a maximal torus of $G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let X'_w be the $G'_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of the composite of x_w with the inclusion $T_{w\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow G'_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let X'^{ad}_w be the $G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of the composite of x_w with the homomorphism $T_{w\mathbb{R}} \to G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The pair $(G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, X'^{\mathrm{ad}}_w)$ is a Shimura pair, cf. [8, Subsubsection 1.2.3]. Thus $(G'_{\mathbb{Q}}, X'_w)$ is also a Shimura pair.

The class $\gamma_0 := \gamma_c^{-1}\gamma_s^{-1} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}})$ is trivial over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The inner twist of $G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ via the image $\gamma_{0\mathbb{R}}$ of γ_0 in $H^1(\mathbb{R}, G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and therefore (via $j_{\mathbb{R}}$) it is naturally isomorphic to $G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus $\gamma_{0\mathbb{R}}$ is the trivial class. Let $N_{w\mathbb{Q}}$ be the normalizer of $T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}}$ in $G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $\gamma_n \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, N_{w\mathbb{Q}})$ be the class that parametrizes inner isomorphisms between the two pairs $(G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}})$ and $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}})$. The use of word inner makes sense here as the class $\gamma_{0\mathbb{R}}$ is trivial; in other words we consider only isomorphisms between extensions of $(G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}})$ and $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}})$ to some field K of characteristic 0 that have the property that over a larger field K_1 which contains both K and $\mathbb{R}$, are defined by isomorphisms $G'^{\mathrm{ad}}_{K_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{K_1}$ that are composites of the extension to K_1 of the adjoint of $j_{\mathbb{R}}$ with inner automorphisms of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{K_1}$. The class γ_n is trivial over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The class γ_n is also trivial over $\mathbb{R}$ (as $\gamma_{0\mathbb{R}}$ is trivial and as two maximal compact tori of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugate). Let $T'_{w\mathbb{Q}}$ be the torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ that is the twist of $T_{w\mathbb{Q}}$ via γ_n. The tori $T'_{w\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $T_{w\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-conjugate. Let $x'_w : \mathbb{S} \hookrightarrow T'_{w\mathbb{R}}$ be the natural twist of x_w via γ_n. We need an extra property:

(iv) Let T_1 and T_2 two maximal tori of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ whose images in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ are $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugate. Then T_1 and T_2 are $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugate.

To check (iv), let $T^{00}_{1\mathbb{F}_p}$ be the image of $T_{1\mathbb{F}_p}$ in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. It is easy to check that we have an identity $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathrm{Im}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p) \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p))T^{00}_{1\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Thus the $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p)$-conjugates of

$T_{1\mathbb{F}_p}$ are the same as the $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p)$-conjugates of $T_{1\mathbb{F}_p}$. Thus $T_{1\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $T_{2\mathbb{F}_p}$ are $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p)$-conjugate. From this and the infinitesimal liftings of [10, Vol. II, Exp. IX, Thm. 3.6], we get that for all $u \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\dagger_u \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that $\dagger_u T_1 \dagger_u^{-1}$ and T_2 coincide mod p^u. Loc. cit. assures us that we can assume that $\dagger_{u+1}$ and $\dagger_u$ are congruent mod p^u. Thus the p-adic limit $\dagger_\infty$ of the sequence $(\dagger_u)_{u\in\mathbb{N}}$ exists and is an element of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with the property that $\dagger_\infty T_1 \dagger_\infty^{-1} = T_2$. Thus (iv) holds.

We have an identity $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})$, cf. [30, Lemma 4.9]. Thus, as the tori $T'_{w\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $T_{w\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-conjugate, up to a $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})$-conjugation of $T'_{w\mathbb{Q}}$ we can assume that the Zariski closure T'_w of $T'_{w\mathbb{Q}}$ in $\mathrm{GL}(L_{(p)})$ is a torus with the property that $T'_{w\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and $T_{w\mathbb{Z}_p}$ are $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugate. Thus $T'_{w\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and $T_{w\mathbb{Z}_p}$ are $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugate, cf. (iv). Thus $T'_{w\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and T^w are $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugate, cf. (ii). As $(G'_{\mathbb{Q}}, X'_w)$ is a Shimura pair, by replacing (T_w, x_w) with (T'_w, x'_w) we can assume that $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X_w)$ is a Shimura pair and that the properties (i) to (iii) continue to hold.

Adjoint Shimura pairs. The adjoint Shimura pair $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ of $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$ is defined by the property that X^{ad} is the $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of the composite of any $x \in X$ with the epimorphism $G_{\mathbb{R}} \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We check that the adjoint Shimura pairs $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}_w)$ coincide i.e., we have $X^{\mathrm{ad}} = X^{\mathrm{ad}}_w$. Let $G_{0\mathbb{R}} \in \mathfrak{N}$ be a simple, non-compact factor of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let X^{ad}_0 (resp. X^{ad}_{0w}) be the direct factor of X^{ad} (resp. of X^{ad}_{0w}) that is the $G_{0\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of the composite x_{00} (resp. x_{0w}) of any $x \in X$ (resp. of x_w) with the epimorphism $G_{\mathbb{R}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{0\mathbb{R}}$. We know that $x_{00\mathbb{C}}$ and $x_{0w\mathbb{C}}$ are $G_{0\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate, cf. (iii). Thus x_{00} and x_{0w} are $G_{0\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugate, cf. [8, Prop. 1.2.2]. Thus we have $X^{\mathrm{ad}}_0 = X^{\mathrm{ad}}_{0w}$. This implies that $X^{\mathrm{ad}} = X^{\mathrm{ad}}_w$.

Both X and X_w are disjoint unions of connected components of X^{ad}. The connected components of X^{ad} are permuted transitively by $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$, cf. [43, Cor. 3.3.3]. Thus by replacing the injective map $i_w : (T_{w\mathbb{Q}}, \{x_w\}) \hookrightarrow (G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X_w)$ with its composite with an isomorphism $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X_w) \tilde{\to} (G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$ defined by an element of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$, we can assume that $X_w = X$. The fact that under such a replacement (ii) still holds, is implied by (iv).

Special Shimura pair. As a conclusion the pair $(T_{w\mathbb{Q}}, \{x_w\})$ is a special Shimura pair of $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X) = (G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X_w)$. The reflex field $E(T_{w\mathbb{Q}}, \{x_w\})$ is a finite field extension of $E(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)$. As $T_{wW(\mathbb{F})}$ is a split torus, $E(T_{w\mathbb{Q}}, \{x_w\})$ is naturally a subfield of $e_{\mathbb{F}}(W(\mathbb{F}))[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let v_w be the prime of $E(T_{w\mathbb{Q}}, \{x_w\})$ such that the localization $O_{(v_w)}$ of the ring of integers of $E(T_{w\mathbb{Q}}, \{x_w\})$ with respect to it is $E(T_{w\mathbb{Q}}, \{x_w\}) \cap e_{\mathbb{F}}(W(\mathbb{F}))$. The prime v_w divides v and the cocharacter μ_{0w} of $T_{wW(k(v_w))}$ we get as in Subsubsection 5.1.1 but for the potential standard Hodge situation $(f \circ i_w, L, v_w)$, is such that its extension to $\mathbb{C}$ via $e_{\mathbb{F}}$ is μ_w itself. Thus the extension of μ_{0w} to $W(\mathbb{F})$ is the cocharacter $g_w \mu^w_{0W(\mathbb{F})} g_w^{-1}$ of $T_{wW(\mathbb{F})}$. The normalization $\mathcal{T}_w$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(O_{(v_w)})$ in $\mathrm{Sh}(T_{w\mathbb{Q}}, \{x_w\})/T_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathrm{Spec}(O_{(v_w)})$ (see [43, Example 3.2.8]) and therefore its local rings are discrete valuation rings. From Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion we get that the pulls back of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})_{E(G_{\mathbb{Q}},X)}$ and of its symplectic similitude structures via the natural morphism $\mathrm{Sh}(T_{w\mathbb{Q}}, \{x_w\})/T_w(\mathbb{Z}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, X)_{E(T_{w\mathbb{Q}},\{x_w\})}/H$, extend to $\mathcal{T}_w$. Thus we have a natural morphism $\mathcal{T}_w \to \mathcal{M}_{O_{(v_w)}}$ which (by the very definitions of $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{T}_w$) factors through $\mathcal{N}_{O_{(v_w)}}$. Next we use the notations of the axiom 5.1.2 (b) and Notations 5.1.7 for a point

$z \in \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{T}_w(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}(W(k)))$ and we check that the triple $(f \circ i_w, L, v_w)$ is a standard Hodge situation.

Let $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_w}$ be a family of tensors in spaces of the form $W^{*\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} W^n$ such that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_w$ and $T_{w\mathbb{Q}}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ that fixes v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_w$. Let T_w^{big} be a maximal torus of $\mathrm{GL}(L_{(p)})$ that contains T_w. We choose $\mathcal{J}_w$ such that each element of $\operatorname{Lie}(T_w^{\text{big}})$ is a v_α for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_w$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_w \setminus \mathcal{J}$ let $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ be the de Rham component of the Hodge cycle on $A_{B(k)}$ whose p component of its étale component is v_α (thus $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ is well defined for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_w$). The Zariski closure $\tilde{T}_w$ in $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ of the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ that fixes t_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_w$, is a maximal torus of $\tilde{G}$. This is a consequence of the fact that the $W(k)$-span of endomorphisms of M generated by those elements t_α which correspond to elements of $\operatorname{Lie}(T_w^{\text{big}})$, is a $W(k)$-subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}(M)$ isomorphic to $W(k)^{2d}$ and therefore it is the Lie algebra of a maximal torus of $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ that contains $\tilde{T}_w$. From this and the fact that $\mathcal{T}_w$ is a pro-étale cover of $\operatorname{Spec}(O_{(v_w)})$, we get that the triple $(f \circ i_w, L, v_w)$ is a standard Hodge situation.

End of the proof of (b). To end the proof of (b) we only have to show the existence of a rational isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_y$ and $\mathcal{C}_w$. Let $\phi_{0w} := (1_{L_p^*} \otimes \sigma_{k(v_w)}) \circ \mu_{0w}(\frac{1}{p})$; it is a $\sigma_{k(v_w)}$-linear endomorphism of $M_{0w} := L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v_w))$. But $g_w h_w \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W(k))$ defines an isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_w$ and $C_w := (M_{0w}, \phi_{0w}, G_{W(k(v_w))}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \otimes_{k(v_w)} k$. Thus we are left to check that there exists a rational isomorphism $\mathcal{I}$ between $\mathcal{C}_y$ and C_w.

By applying Lemma 5.1.8 to the context of z and $\mathcal{J}_w$, we get the existence of an isomorphism $\rho : M[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{0w} \otimes_{W(k(v_w))} B(k)$ that takes t_α to v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_w$. Thus we also have $\rho \mu_{B(k)} \rho^{-1} = \mu_{0wB(k)}$ and moreover the element g_y of Lemma 5.1.8 belongs to $T_w(B(k))$. This implies that $\operatorname{Lie}(\tilde{T}_w)$ is normalized by ϕ and μ thus (as in the proof of Claim 2.2.2 we argue that) we can speak about the maximal torus $\tilde{T}_{w\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ whose extension to $W(k)$ is $\tilde{T}_w$. Let $\gamma \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_{w\mathbb{Q}_p})$ be the class that defines the torsor of $T_{w\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which parametrizes isomorphisms $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} L_p^*[\frac{1}{p}]$ that take t_α to v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_w$. If the condition 5.2.1 (ii) holds, then γ is the trivial class. If γ is the trivial class, then we can assume ρ is the tensorization with $B(k)$ of an isomorphism $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} L_p^*[\frac{1}{p}]$ and thus g_y is the identity element; therefore $\mathcal{I}$ exists. Thus to end the proof of (b), we only have to show that γ is the trivial class if the condition 5.2.1 (i) holds, $Z(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is connected, and the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ surjects onto $G^{\text{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Let g_y^{ad} be the image of g_y in $T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}_p}(B(k))$. The two hyperspecial subgroups of $G^{\text{ad}}(B(k))$ that normalize $\operatorname{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}_{W(k)})$ and $\rho(\operatorname{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}_{W(k)})\rho^{-1}$ are inner conjugate by an element $g_0 \in G^{\text{ad}}_{B(k)}(B(k))$, cf. [41, p. 47]. As $T^{00}_{wW(k)}(W(k))$ is a subgroup of both these hyperspecial subgroups and as two maximal tori of $G^{\text{ad}}_{W(k)}$ are $G^{\text{ad}}_{W(k)}(W(k))$-conjugate, we can assume that $g_0 \in T^{00}_{wW(k)}(B(k))$. As $\rho\mu_{B(k)}\rho^{-1} = \mu_{0wB(k)}$, $g_0 g_y^{\text{ad}} \sigma(g_0)^{-1}$ normalizes $\operatorname{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}_{W(k)})$ and therefore it belongs to $G^{\text{ad}}_{W(k)}(W(k))$ and thus also to $T^{00}_{wW(k)}(W(k))$. Let $t^{00} \in T^{00}_{wW(k)}(W(k))$ be such that $g_0 g_y^{\text{ad}} \sigma(g_0)^{-1} = t^{00}\sigma(t^{00})^{-1}$, cf. Fact 2.6.2. But as $Z(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \operatorname{Ker}(T_{w\mathbb{Q}} \to T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}})$ is connected, there exists an element $t \in T_w(B(k))$ that maps to $g_0^{-1} t^{00} \in T^{00}_w(B(k))$. Thus up to a replacement of ρ by $t^{-1} \circ \rho$, we can assume that $g_y \in Z(G_{\mathbb{Q}})(B(k))$. This implies that the image γ^{00} of γ in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T^{00}_{w\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is the trivial class. As the condition 5.2.1 (i) holds, the image of γ in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is also the trivial class. Thus there exists $h \in G(B(k))$ such that $h\rho(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]) = L_p^*[\frac{1}{p}]$. As γ^{00} is the trivial class, there exists $h_1^{\mathrm{ad}} \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that h_1^{ad} and h act (via inner conjugation) in the same way on $\mathrm{Lie}(T_{w\mathbb{Q}_p}^{00})$. Let $h_1 \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be such that it maps to h_1^{ad}. By replacing h with $h_1^{-1}h$, we can assume h fixes $\mathrm{Lie}(T_{w\mathbb{Q}_p}^{00})$. Thus $h \in T_w(B(k))$ and therefore γ is the trivial class. $\square$

5.2.4. Simple properties. (a) If $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)$, then the condition 5.2.1 (i) holds (cf. Remark 5.2.2 (a)), $Z(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{G}_m$ is connected, and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ surjects onto $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Thus properties 5.2 (a) and (b) hold, cf. Main Theorem. By combining this with Fact 1.1 we get a new proof of the original Manin problem.

(b) We refer to the proof of Theorem 5.2.3 (b). If there exists an isomorphism $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_p^* = H^1$ that takes t_α to v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_w$ (cf. [45, Main Thm. 1.2]), then we can assume $g_y \in T_w(W(k))$ and therefore (cf. Fact 2.6.2) $\mathcal{C}_w$ and $\mathcal{C}_y$ are isomorphic.

5.3. Rational stratifications. Let $\mathcal{S}_{NP}$ be the stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in locally closed, reduced subschemes defined by Newton polygons of pulls back of the p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ via geometric points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (cf. [19, Thm. 2.3.1]).

5.3.1. Theorem. *Let (f, L, v) be a standard Hodge situation. There exists a stratification $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{rat}}$ of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in locally closed, reduced subschemes such that two points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in the same algebraically closed field factor through the same stratum of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{rat}}$ if and only if there exists a rational isomorphism between their attached Shimura F-crystals with tensors. Each stratum of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{rat}}$ is an open closed subscheme of a stratum of $\mathcal{S}_{NP}$ and therefore $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{rat}}$ refines $\mathcal{S}_{NP}$.*

Proof: We use left lower indices to denote pulls back of F-crystals. Let x be an independent variable. Let S_0 be a stratum of $\mathcal{S}_{NP}$. Let S_1 be an irreducible component of S_0. To prove the Theorem it is enough to show that for each two geometric points y_1 and y_2 of S_1 with values in the same algebraically closed field k_1, there exists a rational isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{y_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{y_2}$. We can assume that $k_1 = \overline{k((x))}$ and that y_1 and y_2 factor through the generic point and respectively the special point of a k-morphism $m : \mathrm{Spec}(k[[x]]) \to \mathcal{N}_k$. We denote also by y_1 and y_2, the k_1-valued points of $\mathrm{Spec}(k[[x]])$ or of its perfection $\mathrm{Spec}(k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}})$ defined naturally by the factorizations of y_1 and y_2 through m.

Let Φ be the Frobenius lift of $W(k)[[x]]$ that is compatible with σ and that takes x to x^p. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (V, \phi_V, \nabla_V)$ be the F-crystal over $k[[x]]$ of $m^*(\mathcal{D})$. Thus V is a free $W(k)[[x]]$-module, $\phi_V : V \to V$ is a Φ-linear endomorphism, and $\nabla_V : V \to V dx$ is a connection. Let $t_\alpha^V \in \mathcal{T}(V)$ be the de Rham realization of $m^*(w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})$ (see paragraph before Notations 5.1.7). Let $\mathcal{C}_{y_1} = (M_1, \phi_1, \tilde{G}_{1W(k_1)}, (t_{1\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ (see Definition 5.1.9). Let $g_1 \in \tilde{G}_{1W(k_1)}(W(k_1))$ be such that $(M_1, g_1\phi_1, \tilde{G}_{1W(k_1)}, (t_{1\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is the extension to k_1 of a Shimura F-crystal with tensors $\mathcal{C}_1$ over a finite field k_{01} and there exists a rational isomorphism l_1 between $\mathcal{C}_{y_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes_{k_{01}} k_1 = (M_1, g_1\phi_1, \tilde{G}_{1W(k_1)}, (t_{1\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ defined by an element $h_1 \in \tilde{G}_{1W(k_1)}(B(k_1))$, cf. Corollary 4.3. Let $\mathcal{C}_1^-$ be $\mathcal{C}_1$ but viewed only as an F-crystal. We can identify $\mathcal{C}_{1k_1}^- = (h_1^{-1}(M_1), \phi_1)$.

From [19, Thm. 2.7.4] we get the existence of an isogeny $i_0 : \mathfrak{C}_0 \to \mathfrak{C}$, where $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is an F-crystal over $k[[x]]$ whose extension to the $k[[x]]$-subalgebra $k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}$ of k_1 is constant (i.e., is the pull back of an F-crystal over k). Let $i_1 : M_2 \to M_1$ be the $W(k_1)$-linear map that defines $y_1^*(i_0)$. We can assume that $i_1(M_2)$ is contained in $h_1^{-1}(M_1)$. We get a morphism $\mathfrak{C}_{0k_1} \to \mathcal{C}^-_{1k_1}$. It is the extension to k_1 of a morphism $i_2 : \mathfrak{C}_{0k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}} \to \mathcal{C}^-_{1k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}}$, cf. [35, Lemma 3.9] and the fact that $\mathfrak{C}_{0k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}}$ and $\mathcal{C}^-_{1k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}}$ are constant F-crystals over $k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}$. Let $i_3 : \mathcal{C}^-_{1k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}} \to \mathfrak{C}_{0k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}}$ be a morphism such that $i_2 \circ i_3 = p^q 1_{\mathcal{C}^-_{1k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}}}$, where $q \in \mathbb{N}$. By composing i_3 with $i_{0k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}}$ we get an isogeny $i_4 : \mathcal{C}^-_{1k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}} \to \mathfrak{C}_{k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}}}$ whose extension to k_1 is defined by the inclusion $h_1^{-1}(p^q M_1) \subseteq M_1$. The isomorphism of F-isocrystals over $\mathrm{Spec}(k[[x]]^{\mathrm{perf}})$ defined by p^{-q} times i_4 takes $t_{1\alpha}$ to t_α^V for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}$, as this is so generically. Thus $y_2^*(i_4)$ is an isogeny which when viewed as an isomorphism of F-isocrystals is p^q times a rational isomorphism l_2 between $\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes_{k_{01}} k_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_{y_2}$. Therefore $l_2 l_1$ is a rational isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{y_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{y_2}$. □

5.3.2. Remarks. (a) The proof of Theorem 5.3.1 is in essence only a concrete variant of a slight refinement of [35, Thm. 3.8]. The only new thing it brings to loc. cit., is that it weakens the hypotheses of loc. cit. (i.e., it considers the "Newton point" of only one faithful representation, which in the case when condition 5.2.1 (i) holds, is the representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on $W^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$).

(b) The stratifications $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{rat}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{NP}$ are $G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$-invariant (cf. Remark 5.1.10) and therefore are pulls back of stratifications $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{rat},H_0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{NP,H_0}$ of the $k(v)$-scheme $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0$ of finite type, where H_0 is as before Notations 5.1.7. Thus $\mathcal{S}_{NP,H_0}$ has a finite number of strata and each stratum of it has a finite number of connected components. Therefore $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{rat},H_0}$ and thus also $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{rat}}$ have a finite number of strata, cf. Theorem 5.3.1. If $\mathfrak{R}$ is as in Corollary 4.4 and if properties 5.2 (a) and (b) hold, then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{rat}}$ has precisely $\mathfrak{R}$ strata.

5.3.3. Example. We assume that $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is absolutely simple of B_n Dynkin type, that $Z(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{G}_m$, and that (f, L, v) is a standard Hodge situation. The faithful representation of $G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{der}}$ on $W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ is a direct sum of trivial and of spin representations (see [8]) and therefore $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{der}}$ is simply connected. Thus the condition 5.2.1 (i) holds, cf. Remark 5.2.2 (a). As $Z(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{G}_m$ is connected and as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ surjects onto $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, the hypotheses of the Main Theorem 5.2.3 hold. Thus properties 5.2 (a) and (b) hold, cf. Main Theorem. From this and Proposition 4.6.1 (a) we get that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{rat}}$ has precisely $n+1$ strata.

5.4. Integral Manin problem for (f, L, v) and k. Show that:

(a) For each point $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$, there exists $g \in G^0(W(k))$ such that $\mathcal{C}_g$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_y$.

(b) For each element $g \in G^0(W(k))$, there exists $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{y}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_g$.

5.4.1. Some standard PEL situations. Let ι be the involution of $\mathrm{End}(L_{(p)})$ defined by the identity $\psi(b(x), y) = \psi(x, \iota(b)(y))$, where $b \in \mathrm{End}(L_{(p)})$ and $x,\ y \in L_{(p)}$. Let $\mathcal{B} := \{b \in \mathrm{End}(L_{(p)}) | b \,\text{is fixed by}\, G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}\}$. We list four conditions:

(i) we have $\iota(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$–algebra;

(ii) the $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebra $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} W(\mathbb{F})$ is a product of matrix $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebras;

(iii) the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)$ that fixes all $b \in \mathcal{B}$;

(iv) the Hasse principle holds for the group$G_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

If (i) to (iii) hold, then the triple (f, L, v) is a standard Hodge situation (see [27] and [23]); we refer to (f, L, v) as a standard PEL situation. Condition (iv) holds if (i) to (iii) hold and all simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are of C_n or A_{2n-1} Lie type ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), cf. [23, pp. 393–394].

5.4.2. Theorem. *If conditions 5.4.1 (i) to (iv) hold, then properties 5.4 (a) and (b) also hold.*

Proof: Let y and z be as in the axiom 5.1.2 (b) and Notations 5.1.7. We use the notations of the axiom 5.1.2 (b), Notations 5.1.7, and Lemma 5.1.8. The isomorphism $i_A \otimes_{B^+(W(k))} 1_{B_{dR}(W(k))}$ takes p_M to a non-zero scalar multiple of ψ^*. As $\tilde{G}$ does not fix p_M, we get the existence of a field extension $L_{dR}(W(k))$ of the field of fractions of $B_{dR}(W(k))$ such that there exists a symplectic isomorphism $(M \otimes_{W(k)} L_{dR}(W(k)), p_M) \xrightarrow{\sim} (L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} L_{dR}(W(k)), \psi^*)$ that takes t_α to v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. As $k = \bar{k}$ and as $G^0_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Sp}(W, \psi) \cap G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is connected (cf. (11)), the set $H^1(B(k), G^0_{B(k)})$ has only one class. Thus referring to Lemma 5.1.8, we can choose ρ such that it defines a symplectic isomorphism $(M[\frac{1}{p}], p_M) \xrightarrow{\sim} (L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B(k), \psi^*)$.

Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}} := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{J} | v_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}\}$. We identify $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ with the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-span of v_α's (resp. t_α's) with $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $\rho_1 : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k)$ be an isomorphism that takes p_M to ψ^* and t_α to v_α, for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}$. The existence of ρ_1 after inverting p follows from the previous paragraph and thus [23, Lemma 7.2] implies that ρ_1 exists. Strictly speaking, loc. cit. is stated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ but its arguments apply entirely over $W(k)$. Thus $\rho \circ \rho_1^{-1}$ fixes ψ^* and v_α, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Thus $\rho \circ \rho_1^{-1} \in G^0_{\mathbb{Q}}(B(k))$, cf. condition 5.4.1 (iii). Thus ρ_1 also takes t_α to v_α, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Therefore we can choose ρ such that moreover we have $\rho(M) = M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k)$ and $\rho\mu\rho^{-1} = \mu_{0W(k)}$. Thus the representations of $\mathcal{B}/p\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(A_k) = F^1/pF^1$ and $F^1_0/pF^1_0 \otimes_{k(v)} k$ are isomorphic and moreover we have $g_y \in G^0_{W(k)}(W(k))$. Thus the property 5.4 (a) holds.

We show that the property 5.4 (b) holds. Let $g \in G^0_{W(k)}(W(k))$. Let N^0 be the normalizer of T^0 in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Let $w \in N^0(W(k)) \cap G^0_{W(k)}(W(k))$ be such that there exists an element $h \in G^0_{W(k)}(B(k))$ that defines a rational isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_w$ and $\mathcal{C}_g$, cf. Subsection 4.7. We take $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$ to factor through $\mathcal{T}_w$ of the proof of Theorem 5.2.3 (b) and we use the notations of the mentioned proof. Let T^0_w be the subgroup scheme of T_w that fixes ψ. We have $T^0_w = G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \cap T_w$ (cf. (11)) and therefore T^0_w is a maximal torus of $G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$. If $\mathcal{B}_w$ is the centralizer of T_w in $\mathrm{End}(L_{(p)})$, then T_w is the subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)$ that fixes $\mathcal{B}_w$. Thus as in the previous paragraph we argue that can assume $g_y \in T^0_w(W(k))$. By composing ρ with an element of $T^0_w(W(k))$, we can assume g_y is the identity element. Therefore $\mathcal{C}_y$ is isomorphic to C_w (of the proof of Theorem 5.2.3 (b)). Thus $\mathcal{C}_y$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_w$ under an isomorphism $\rho : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k)$ that takes p_M to ψ^* and t_α to v_α, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_w$.

Let $M_1 := (h\rho)^{-1}(L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k))$. It is a $W(k)$-lattice of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $\tilde{G}_1$ be the Zariski closure of $G_{B(k)}$ in $\mathrm{GL}(M_1)$. The quadruple $(M_1, \phi, \tilde{G}_1, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is a Shimura F-crystal with tensors isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_g$ (via $h\rho$). Moreover, M_1 is self dual with respect to p_M and we have $t_\alpha(M_1) \subseteq M_1$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Let A_{1k} be the abelian variety over k that is $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-isogenous to A_k and whose Dieudonné module is (under this $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-isogeny) (M_1, ϕ). Let $p_{A_{1k}}$ be the principal polarization of A_{1k} defined by p_M. We endow A_{1k} with the level s symplectic similitude structures induced naturally by those of A_k, for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to p. To these structures and to $(A_{1k}, p_{A_{1k}})$ corresponds naturally a morphism $\tilde{y} : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_B$ the tensor t_α is the crystalline realization of a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-endomorphism of A_{1k}. To end the proof of property 5.4 (b) we only have to check that there exists a point $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{M}(W(k))$ which lifts $\tilde{y}$ and which factors through $\mathcal{N}$ in such a way that t_α is the de Rham realization of the Hodge cycle $\tilde{z}^*(w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})$, for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Due to condition 5.4.1 (iii) it is enough to work in the last sentence only with indices $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}$. But due to condition 5.4.1 (iv), the existence of $\tilde{z}$ follows from the above part referring to representations of $\mathcal{B}/p\mathcal{B}$ and from the well known moduli considerations of [23, pp. 390 and 399]. □

5.4.3. Examples. (a) The principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné module of a principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group over k of height $2r$ is isomorphic to $(M, g\phi, p_M)$, where $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(M, p_M)(W(k))$. Thus Theorem 5.4.2 for Siegel modular varieties (i.e., for when $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$) says that each principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group over k of height $2r$ is the one of a principally polarized abelian variety over k of dimension r. This result was first obtained in [44, Prop. 5.3.3]).

(b) Suppose that the conditions 5.4 (i) to (iv) hold, that the $\mathbb{Q}$–algebra $\mathcal{B}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is simple, that the group scheme $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is split, and that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\mathrm{der}}$ is an SL_n group scheme. As $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is split we have $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$. As $G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is simple, the condition 5.4.1 (iv) holds even if n is odd (see [23, top of p. 394]). We also assume that we have a direct sum decomposition

$$M_0 = L_p^* = L_0 \oplus L_1 \tag{14}$$

of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$-modules such that the representation of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\mathrm{der}}$ on L_0 is the standard faithful representation of rank n. Let $a \in S(1, n-1)$ be such that μ_0 acts trivially (resp. non-trivially) on a direct summand of L_0 of rank a (resp. $n-a$). Let $\mathcal{D}$ be as in the beginning of Subsection 5.3. For $y \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$, let (M, ϕ) be as in the axiom 5.1.2 (b). Let $\mathcal{D}_{W(k)} = \mathcal{D}_0 \oplus \mathcal{D}_1$ and $M = N_0 \oplus N_1$ be the direct sum decompositions that corresponds naturally to (14). The pair (N_0, ϕ) is a Dieudonné module of rank n and dimension $n - a$. The Dieudonné module of another p-divisible group over k of rank n and dimension $n - a$ is isomorphic to $(N_0, g\phi)$, where $g \in \mathrm{SL}(N_0)(W(k))$ (cf. Fact 2.6.3 applied to $(N_0, \phi, \mathrm{GL}(N))$). From this and Theorem 5.4.2 we get that each p-divisible group over k of rank n and dimension $n - a$ is isomorphic to $y^*(\mathcal{D}_0)$ for some point $y \in \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}(k)$.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank University of Arizona for good conditions with which to write this work.

References

[1] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné crystalline II*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **930**, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[2] D. Blasius, *A p-adic property of Hodge cycles on abelian varieties*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), pp. 293–308, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **55**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[3] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups,* Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **126**, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[4] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, *Néron models,* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3). [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], Vol. **21**, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[5] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras, Chapters 4–6,* Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2002.

[6] C.-L. Chai, *Newton polygons as lattice points,* Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000), no. 5, pp. 967–990.

[7] P. Deligne, *Travaux de Shimura,* Séminaire Bourbaki, Exp. 389, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **244**, pp. 123–163, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[8] P. Deligne, *Variétés de Shimura: interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques,* (French) Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR, 1977), Part 2, pp. 247–289, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.

[9] P. Deligne, *Hodge cycles on abelian varieties,* Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **900**, pp. 9–100, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[10] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, et al. *Schémas en groupes,* Vols. I–III, Lecture Notes in Math., Vols. **151–153**, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[11] J. Dieudonné, *Groupes de Lie et hyperalgèbres de Lie sur un corps de caractérisque* $p > 0$ *(VII),* Math. Annalen **134** (1957), pp. 114–133.

[12] G. Faltings, *Crystalline cohomology and p-adic Galois representations,* Alg. Analysis, Geometry, and Nr. Theory, pp. 25–79, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.

[13] G. Faltings, *Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings,* J. of Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, pp. 117–144.

[14] J.-M. Fontaine and G. Laffaille, *Construction de représentations p-adiques,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **15** (1982), no. 4, pp. 547–608.

[15] J.-M. Fontaine, *Le corps des périodes p-adiques,* J. Astérisque **223**, pp. 59–101, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1994.

[16] J.-M. Fontaine and M. Rapoport, *Existence de filtrations admissibles sur des isocristaux,* Bull. Soc. Math. de France **133** (2005), pp. 73–86.

[17] G. Harder, *Über die Galoiskohomologie halbeinfacher Matrizengruppen II,* Math. Z. **92** (1966), pp. 396–415.

[18] M. Harris and R. Taylor, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties,* Ann. of Math. Studies, Vol. **151**, Princeton Univ. Press, 2001.

[19] N. Katz, *Slope filtration of* F*-crystals,* Journées de Géométrie algébrique de Rennes 1978, J. Astérisque **63**, Part 1, pp. 113–163, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1979.

[20] M. Knesser, *Galois-Komologie halbeinfacher algebraischer Gruppen über p-adischen Körpern I.,* Math. Zeit. **88** (1965), pp. 44–47; II., ibid. **89** (1965), pp. 250–272.

[21] R. E. Kottwitz, *Isocrystals with additional structure,* Comp. Math. **56** (1985), no. 2 , pp. 201–220.

[22] R. E. Kottwitz, *Base change for unit elements of Hecke algebras,* Comp. Math. **60** (1986), no. 2, pp. 237–250.

[23] R. E. Kottwitz, *Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields,* J. of Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), no. 2, pp. 373–444.

[24] R. E. Kottwitz, *On the Hodge-Newton decomposition for split groups,* Int. Math. Res. Not. **26** (2003), pp. 1433–1447.

[25] G. Laffaille, *Groupes p-divisibles et modules filtrés: le cas peu ramifié,* Bull. Soc. Math. de France **108** (1980), pp. 187–206.

[26] R. Langlands, *Some contemporary problems with origin in the Jugendtraum,* Mathematical developments arising from Hilbert's problems, pp. 401–418, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1976.

[27] R. Langlands and M. Rapoport, *Shimuravarietaeten und Gerben,* J. reine angew. Math. **378** (1987), pp. 113–220.

[28] J. I. Manin, *The theory of formal commutative groups in finite characteristic,* Russian Math. Surv. **18** (1963), no. 6, pp. 1–83.

[29] J. S. Milne, *The points on a Shimura variety modulo a prime of good reduction,* The Zeta function of Picard modular surfaces, pp. 153–255, Univ. Montréal Press, Montreal, Quebec, 1992.

[30] J. S. Milne, *Shimura varieties and motives,* Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), pp. 447–523, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **55**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[31] J. S. Milne and K. -y. Shih, *Conjugates of Shimura varieties,* Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **900**, pp. 280–356, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[32] F. Oort, *Newton polygons and formal groups: conjectures by Manin and Grothendieck,* Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), no. 1, pp. 183–206.

[33] F. Oort, *Newton polygon strata in the moduli of abelian varieties,* Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), pp. 417–440, Progr. Math., **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

[34] R. Pink, *l-adic algebraic monodromy groups, cocharacters, and the Mumford–Tate conjecture,* J. reine angew. Math. **495** (1998), pp. 187–237.

[35] M. Rapoport and M. Richartz, *On the classification and specialization of F-isocrystals with additional structure,* Comp. Math. **103** (1996), no. 2, pp. 153–181.

[36] M. Rapoport and T. Zink, *Period spaces for p-divisible groups,* Ann. of Math. Studies, Vol. **141**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1996.

[37] J.-P. Serre, *Galois Cohomology,* Springer-Verlag, 1997.

[38] G. Shimura, *Moduli of abelian varieties and number theory,* 1966 Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Boulder, CO, 1965), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **9**, pp. 312–332, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

[39] J. Tate, *Classes d'isogénie des variétés sur un corps fini (d'après J. Honda),* Séminaire Bourbaki 1968/69, Exp. 352, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **179**, pp. 95–110, 1971.

[40] J. Tits, *Classification of algebraic semisimple groups,* 1966 Algebraic Groups and

Discontinuous Subgroups (Boulder, CO, 1965), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **9**, pp. 33–62, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

[41] J. Tits, *Reductive groups over local fields,* (French) Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR, 1977), Part 1, pp. 29–69, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.

[42] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models for Shimura varieties of Hodge type,* Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton University, 1994.

[43] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type,* Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), no. 2, pp. 401–518.

[44] A. Vasiu, *Crystalline Boundedness Principle,* accepted (in final form) for publication in Ann. Sci. l'École Norm. Sup. (see math.NT/0205199).

[45] A. Vasiu, *A motivic conjecture of Milne,* math.NT/0308202.

[46] T. Zink, *Isogenieklassen von Punkten von Shimuramannigfaltigkeiten mit Werten in einem endlichen Körper,* Math. Nachr. **112** (1983), pp. 103–124.

[47] T. Zink, *On the slope filtration,* Duke Math. J. **109** (2001), no. 1, pp. 79–95.

[48] W. Waterhouse, *Introduction to affine group schemes,* Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **89**, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[49] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Un scindage de la filtration de Hodge pour certaines variétés algébriques sur les corps locaux,* Ann. of Math. (2) **119** (1984), no. 3, pp. 511–548.

[50] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Existence de F-cristaux avec structures supplémentaires,* Adv. Math. **190** (2005), no. 1, pp. 196–224.

Adrian Vasiu
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Binghamton University
Binghamton, New York 13902-6000
e-mails:adrian@math.arizona.edu adrian@math.binghamton.edu
fax: 1-607-777-2450